

The Council of the Inns of Court

## **Minutes**

## **Strategic Advisory Board Meeting**

Thursday 20<sup>th</sup> September 2018, 14:00-16:00

The Rose Heilbron Room, Gray's Inn Square

1.	Welcome and Apologies		
a.	Present		
	Clare Dodgson	Chair of SAB and Lay Representative	
	Louise Clements	Lay Panellist, Disciplinary Tribunal Pool	
	Vanessa Davies	Director General, Bar Standards Board	
	Lara Fielden	Lay Representative, Bar Standards Board	
	Joan Martin	Lay Member, Tribunal Appointments Body	
	Stuart Sleeman	Chair, Disciplinary Tribunal Service	
	James Wakefield	Director, COIC	
	Robert Walton	Legally Qualified Panellist, Disciplinary Tribunal Pool	
b.	Apologies		
	Ian Clarke QC	Chair, Inns' Conduct Committee	
C.	In attendance		
	Emiko Etete	Corporate Support Officer, BSB	

## The Bar Tribunals & Adjudication Service

9 Gray's Inn Square, London WC1R 5JD T: 020 3432 7350

E: info@tbtas.org.uk

The Council of the Inns of Court. Limited by Guarantee Company Number: 8804708 Charity Number: 1155640 Registered Office:

9 Gray's Inn Square, London WC1R 5JD

	Margaret Hilson Administrator, BTAS			
	Andy Russell Registrar, BTAS			
d.	Welcome			
	The Chair welcomed Emiko Etete to his first meeting of the SAB.			
2.	Minutes of the Last meeting			
a.	Confirmation of Minutes			
	The minutes of the meeting held on 19 <sup>th</sup> June 2018 were approved and will be			
	placed on the BTAS website, subject to the following amendments being made:			
	i) Ian Clarke QC's name being added to the list of those present;	Action 1		
	ii) It being made clear that the 'BSB Annual Report' mentioned in Minute	AR		
	5.i and Action 3 is the Annual <i>Enforcement</i> Report.	7		
b.	Actions Arising			
	The SAB noted the update on actions arising from the minutes of the meeting held			
	on 19 <sup>th</sup> June 2018 as detailed in Annex A, and were satisfied that all were complete			
	or dealt with elsewhere on the agenda.			
3.	The Role of Clerks			
	The SAB considered a paper from the Registrar detailing the current function of	Annex B		
	clerks in the Disciplinary Tribunal process, and whether any change to their role or			
	eligibility criteria might be appropriate (Minute 9, 19.06.18 refers).			
	The SAB was content to accept the Registrar's recommendation that no change to			
	the role of clerks was necessary. While their responsibilities regarding a Tribunal			
	had changed significantly – in that they are no longer required to draft the 'Chair's			
	Report' – from a purely practical point of view the existing arrangement helped			
	Report' – from a purely practical point of view the existing arrangement helped			
	Report' – from a purely practical point of view the existing arrangement helped ensure the smooth delivery of hearings at a reasonable cost, and provided panels			
	ensure the smooth delivery of hearings at a reasonable cost, and provided panels with another 'pair of eyes' to ensure the applicable rules and regulations were			
	ensure the smooth delivery of hearings at a reasonable cost, and provided panels with another 'pair of eyes' to ensure the applicable rules and regulations were adhered to. Additionally, but no less importantly, the role offered valuable			
	ensure the smooth delivery of hearings at a reasonable cost, and provided panels with another 'pair of eyes' to ensure the applicable rules and regulations were adhered to. Additionally, but no less importantly, the role offered valuable experience to those performing it, and gave them an insight into, and engagement			
	ensure the smooth delivery of hearings at a reasonable cost, and provided panels with another 'pair of eyes' to ensure the applicable rules and regulations were adhered to. Additionally, but no less importantly, the role offered valuable	Action 2		

particularly relevant to newly qualified barristers, and consideration should be given to effectively targeting such individuals when BTAS next recruited new clerks.

Increasing the clerks' responsibilities to a level equivalent to the role of 'Legal Advisor' as used at other Tribunals was also considered, but felt to be unnecessary (given the current legal majority on every panel) and likely to be very expensive.

However, should the membership of panels change to allow for a lay majority or a lay Chair, then this would have to be reconsidered. The SAB agreed that the subject

of lay/legally qualified majorities on panels was an important one, and one that it

would have to carefully consider should this be put forward at some future date.

## 4. Future Bar Training

The SAB noted an oral update from the COIC Director and the Director General of the BSB regarding the BSB's Future Bar Training Reforms, and in particular the potential for changes to ICC Rules and processes.

The Director General outlined that, following several years of work (including public consultations), their 'Future Bar Training' programme was approaching completion. At its simplest, there would no longer be one compulsory route to qualification as a barrister, and instead various pathways would be available for individuals to choose from, with all pathways ultimately having to demonstrate that they met all the requirements of the BSB's <u>Professional Statement</u>, which describes the knowledge, skills and attributes that all barristers should have on 'day one' of practice. The SAB reflected at this point that the Professional Statement could be embedded within BTAS' future Pool member training programme, setting out as it did the 'floor' for competent barristers.

Action 3: AR

In terms of what this meant for the ICC, in practical terms the Bar Training Rules (BTRs) were being updated and (at least in in part) revised with a greater emphasis on 'guidance'. It seems likely that this might require some consequential revisions to the ICC Rules, but no substantive changes were envisaged, save the possible insertion of the requirement for DBS checks into the process. It was noted that the Chair of the ICC had already embarked on updating the ICC Rules to address several other issues, however, as the new BTRs were not expected to be published before February 2019 (and then only come into effect at the start of the 2020/2021 academic year), it might be better for any necessary interim changes to be put to

	the BSB for approval at this stage, rather than waiting and attempting to make the		
	BTR-related changes at the same time.		
5.	Key Performance Indicators		
	The SAB noted the latest BTAS KPI data, and the accompanying executive	& D	
	summary. It did not feel that any indicators required further comment or discussion		
	and thanked the Registrar for presenting the information.		
6.	Tribunal Referral Rates		
	The SAB received an advance copy of the BSB's Annual Enforcement Report in		
	confidence, and all agreed that the contents must not be discussed before 28		
	September 2018. [Post-meeting note: The Report has now been published and is		
	on the BSB website]. The SAB thanked the Director General for the BSB's		
	willingness to make this information available to them at this stage, given that the		
	data within it was likely to be of considerable relevance to the discussions on this		
	agenda item.		
	The SAB noted that the total number of <i>complaints</i> handled by the BSB in 2017/18		
	had increased by 29% compared with figure for 2016/17, however the proportion of		
	those complaints referred to disciplinary action had reduced over the same period		
	(from 17% to 10%). This was thought to be indicative of the greater use of		
	administrative sanctions, compounded by one individual barrister facing an		
	exceptionally high number of charges in 2016/17 which in effect distorted the		
	figures for that period.		
	In terms of future trends it was highlighted that:		
	complaints about misleading the court and rudeness and misbehaviour had		
	increased significantly;		
	the number of complaints relating to barristers' use of social media and the		
	internet was increasing, and that these often raised issues about the boundary		
	between a barrister's professional life and personal life.		
		Action 4:	
	It was agreed that all members of the SAB would take the opportunity to more		
	thoroughly review and reflect on the BSB's Report, and then pass on any issues or		
	concerns they identified to the Registrar for possible discussion at a future meeting.		
	The SAB reminded itself that, given the small numbers of individuals involved		

	(particularly in terms of complaints referred to BTAS), care should be taken to not	
	read too much into data which might simply be a statistical anomaly.	
7.	Dates of future meetings	
	The Committee's next meeting will be:	
	Tuesday 11th December 2018, commencing at 14.00.	
	The Committee then considered the frequency of its future meetings, and agreed	
	that current and likely future business meant it seemed most appropriate that it met three times a year (rather than four at present). The SAB agreed to introduce	
	this triannual meeting pattern from 2019 onwards. [Post-meeting note: Having	
	reviewed the business of previous meetings it is suggested that the September	
	meeting be discontinued, as this follows the summer vacation when BTAS is at its	
	quietest. This would still leave one meeting per term. These have previously been	
	held in March, June and (early) December and it is proposed that this pattern	
	continues].	
8.	Any Other Business	
	BTAS currently has insufficient expertise or resources to produce comprehensive relevant 'case law updates' for the members of the Disciplinary Pool. The Director General of the BSB noted that the BSB already produces newsletters for the	
	members of its Prosecution Panel, which includes the latest case law developments.	
	If BTAS were to be sent copies of these, it might therefore be possible for BTAS to	
	extract factual information of common interest and disseminate this to its Pool	
	members. The potential advantages and disadvantages (including on the public's	
	perception of BTAS' independence) of this should be considered further and	
	brought back to the SAB for discussion.	

No.	ACTION	MINUTE	OWNER	PROGRESS
1.	Amend the minutes of the meeting held on 19 <sup>th</sup>	2 <b>i</b>	AR	
	June 2018 as necessary before posting on the			
	BTAS website.			
2.	Registrar / TAB to consider how best to attract	3	AR	
	newly qualified barristers to apply to be Clerks.			
3.	Future Pool Member training to include	4	AR	
	reference to the BSB's Professional Statement.			
4.	All SAB members to review the BSB's	6	All	
	Enforcement Report and highlight topics that			
	would potentially benefit from further			
	discussion to the Registrar.			
5.	Triannual meeting pattern for the SAB to be	7	AR	
	established from 2019 onwards.			
6.	Options for producing case law summaries for	8	AR	
	Pool members to be considered at a future			
	meeting.			