

The Council of the Inns of Court

Sanctions Guidance:

Breaches of the BSB Handbook

Version 5

15 October 2019

This document is intended to provide guidance and is not intended to inhibit decision makers from using their own discretion when considering an appropriate sanction in individual cases.



Date of implementation January 2014

Date of revision

15 October 2019

INTRODUCTION TO THE 5TH EDITION OF THE BTAS

SANCTIONS GUIDANCE

"It is required of lawyers in this country that they should discharge their professional duties with integrity, probity and complete trustworthiness.....

A profession's most valuable asset is its collective reputation and the confidence which that inspires.....

The reputation of the profession is more important than the fortunes of any individual member. *Membership of a profession brings many benefits, but that is a part of the price.":* Sir Thomas Bingham MR, *Bolton v. Law Society* (1994) 1 WLR 512.

Lord Bingham's words nearly a quarter of a century ago serve to explain why the Council of the Inns of Court has always attached such importance to providing the best guidance it can to those having to impose sanctions for breaches of the Bar Code of Conduct, now to be found in the Bar Standards Board's Handbook.

This is the fifth edition of the Sanctions Guidance endorsed by the Council. Since the last edition it has been updated to incorporate revisions made to the BSB *Handbook*, and in particular the introduction of the BSB's Independent Decision Making Body.

The present Guidance is an update to the comprehensive project undertaken by a BTAS Working Group in 2013. That work involved no less than four pieces of research, a public consultation and an Equality Impact Assessment. In 2015 the Guidance was extended to cover entities authorised by the BSB to provide reserved legal activities as from April that year. In 2018 it was revised again to improve ease of reference to its contents, and to introduce guidance in areas which were not previously covered.

The function of these Guidelines remains unchanged, namely to assist those with the responsibility of imposing the appropriate sanction after charges have been found proven by a BTAS Tribunal. They aim to promote transparency and consistency so that both the profession and the public may know the principles on which sanctions will be applied and be able to identify the probable range of sanction for any particular misconduct.

As Lord Bingham pointed out, orders made by the Tribunal are not primarily punitive. In most cases they will have one or other or both of two objectives. The first is to be sure that the offender does not have the opportunity to repeat the offence, but the second is the most fundamental – namely, "to

maintain the reputation of the... profession as one in which every member, of whatever standing, may be trusted to the ends of the earth."

Part 1 of the Guidance provides general information about the range of sanctions available and the circumstances in which they may be appropriate. Part 2 sets out the starting point for sanctions for breaches of the most common charges under the Handbook. The Bar Standards Board's role is to charge breaches as they think fit, and the Guidance does not purport to address all potential breaches of the Code. Members of Tribunals will always need to use their judgment to fit the sanction to the nature of the case.

In introducing a previous edition of this Guidance, Lord Justice Pitchford emphasised that whilst intended to afford guidance, it is not prescriptive. Those imposing sanctions are free to depart from the Guidance, but if they do, they should be sure they can explain their reasons with clarity.

Desmond Browne QC

President of the Council of the Inns of Court

CONTENTS		
	INTRODUCTION FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL OF THE INNS OF COURT	. 3
	PART 1 – GENERAL GUIDANCE	. 7
Sec	tion 1 – Introduction	. 7
Sec	ction 2 – Aims and objectives of the Bar's enforcement system	. 9
Sec	ction 3 – Purpose and principles of sanctions	11
Sec	ction 4 – Breaches of the Handbook and professional misconduct	14
Sec	tion 5 – Available sanctions	16
Sec	ction 6 – General approach to individual charges and sanctions	20
Sec	tion 7 – Other important issues to consider	28
	PART II – GUIDANCE ON BREACHES OF THE HANDBOOK	32
Lev	els of Fines and Suspensions	34
Sec	tion A – The barrister and the court	36
A.1	Misleading the Court	36
A.2	Abusing the role of an advocate	37
Sec	tion B – Behaving ethically	38
B.1	Conviction for drink driving and related offences	38
B.2	Conviction for assault and violent acts	39
B.3	Conviction for drug possession or supply	40
B.4	Convictions of BSB Licensed/Authorised Bodies	41
B.5	Dishonesty	42
B.6	Discrimination and harassment	43
B.7	Misconduct of a sexual nature	45
Sec	tion C – The barrister and the client	46
C.1	Breach of Public Access rules	46
C.2	Breach of a cab-rank rule	47

_

C.3 Accepting when professionally embarrassed	48
C.4 Late withdrawal	49
C.5 Breach of Court Direction or failure to comply with a Court Order	50
C.6 Failure to comply with a Court judgement	51
C.7 Improper conduct relating to fees	53
C.8 Discourtesy	54
C.9 Using status to influence	55
C.10 Incompetence	56
C.11 Delay	57
Section D – Barristers and their regulator	58
D.1 Failure to report a criminal charge or conviction promptly	58
D.2 Failure to respond	59
D.3 Failure to comply with an Order of a BTAS Tribunal, the Legal Ombudsman, the BSB or the	
Independent Decision Making Body.	60
D.4 Failure to promptly report any insolvency proceedings	61
D.4 Failure to promptly report any insolvency proceedingsD.5 Failure to report serious misconduct.	
	63
D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct	63 64
D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct Section E – Barristers and their practice	63 64 64
 D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct. Section E – Barristers and their practice E.1 Poor administration of practice/chambers/BSB Licensed or Authorised Body 	63 64 64 66
 D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct. Section E – Barristers and their practice E.1 Poor administration of practice/chambers/BSB Licensed or Authorised Body E.2 Holding out 	63 64 64 66 67
 D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct. Section E – Barristers and their practice	63 64 64 66 67 71
 D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct. Section E – Barristers and their practice	63 64 64 66 67 71 73

PART 1 – GENERAL GUIDANCE

Section 1 – Introduction

- 1.1 This guidance has been developed by The Bar Tribunals and Adjudication Service ('BTAS') in collaboration with the Bar Standards Board ('BSB'), for use by the Independent Decision Making Body (IDB) of the BSB and members of BTAS's Disciplinary Tribunals ('decision makers') when considering what sanctions should be imposed where a finding of professional misconduct has been made for a breach of the BSB Handbook.
- 1.2 The guidance is publicly available and allows respondent barristers¹, complainants and other interested parties to gauge, in advance, the potential sanction that might be imposed in a particular case. For more information on BTAS's Disciplinary Tribunals please visit the BTAS website (<u>www.tbtas.org.uk</u>). For more information about the complaints process, please see the Complaints and Professional Conduct section on the BSB's website (<u>www.barstandardsboard.org.uk</u>).
- 1.3 The guidance provides decision makers with a basis for considering what sanctions are appropriate in any given case and is intended to promote proportionality, consistency and transparency in sanctions. *However, it must be stressed that it is not intended to interfere with decision makers' powers to impose whatever sanctions are appropriate in the circumstances of individual cases. Decision makers must exercise their own judgement when deciding on the sanctions to impose and must also ensure that any sanction is appropriate and fair, based on the individual facts of the case. Written reasons should be given for all sanctions imposed including any aggravating or mitigating factors. <i>Care should be taken to include in the written reasons the basis for departing to a significant extent from this guidance.*

Equality and diversity statement²

1.4 The Bar Tribunals and Adjudication Service is committed to eliminating unlawful discrimination and encouraging diversity and inclusion. BTAS will monitor and publish equality and diversity data in line with any similar requirement placed upon the BSB by the Legal Services Board. Similarly, BTAS will monitor and publish equality and diversity data in

¹ Including self-employed barristers, employed barristers, unregistered barristers and BSB authorised persons as per the BSB Handbook.

² For further detail about BTAS's commitment to equality and diversity please see BTAS's Equality and Diversity Policy.

relation to our disciplinary panel members, clerks and Inns' Conduct Committee (ICC)³ lay members. BTAS opposes all forms of unlawful discrimination.

- 1.5 BTAS is committed to playing its part in furthering the regulatory objectives set out in the Legal Services Act 2007 to encourage an independent, strong, diverse and effective legal profession. It is also committed to meeting in full the Equality Duty (section 149 of the Equality Act 2010), as well as complying with the requirements of the Equality Act 2010 (Specific Duties) Regulations 2011.
- 1.6 BTAS will therefore have due regard to the need to take steps to meet the aims of Equality Duty, namely to:
 - eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited by the Act;
 - advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it; and,
 - foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.
- 1.7 The BSB is equally committed to the meaningful compliance with equalities legislation in every aspect of its work, to demonstrate best equalities and anti-discrimination practice. More information on the BSB's approach to equality and diversity can be found at https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/about-barstandards-board/equality-and-diversity/.
- 1.8 Everyone who is acting for or on behalf of BTAS including panel members is expected to adhere to the spirit and letter of the Equality Act 2010. Panel members should bear this commitment in mind when considering sanction.

³ The Inns' Conduct Committee (ICC), a committee responsible for adjudicating on any misconduct issues arising from applications for admission to an Inn of Court or misconduct matters relating to student members of an Inn.

Section 2 – Aims and objectives of the Bar's enforcement system

- 2.1 The Bar Tribunals and Adjudication Service ('BTAS') is responsible for recruiting; appointing and administering disciplinary panels, including Disciplinary Tribunals which consider allegations of professional misconduct against barristers and BSB authorised bodies. The Bar Standards Board investigates and prosecutes disciplinary matters and BTAS's involvement ensures the independence of the adjudicatory panels.
- 2.2 BTAS is committed to the statement of purpose set out in the COIC disciplinary tribunals and hearings review group final report⁴ to:
 - provide a hearings service that is efficient, effective, timely, professional and transparent and one that uses up to date practises and approaches.
 - facilitate high quality decision-making in the public interest; and,
 - be independent, providing clear separation of the adjudicatory function from the BSB, as the prosecuting body for the Bar.

Background to the Bar's enforcement system

- 2.3 The BSB came into existence on 1 January 2006 following a decision to separate the regulation of the Bar from the representative functions of the Bar Council. The Bar Council has delegated to the BSB all of its regulatory functions including investigation of complaints and the subsequent prosecution of barristers for breaches of the Handbook. However, the final decision as to whether a barrister has breached the Handbook is a matter for independent panels appointed by the Bar Tribunals and Adjudication Service (BTAS). In limited circumstances, a panel of the IDB (an 'IDP') BSB can determine disciplinary charges for professional misconduct with the agreement of the barrister.
- 2.4 The operation of the Bar's enforcement system is governed by the BSB's high level strategic objectives as well as the specific aims and objectives of the enforcement system. Therefore, all decisions regarding the action to be taken in relation to individual complaints are taken by the BSB in the context of the objectives and aims set out below.

⁴ In late 2011, COIC commissioned a Review Group, chaired by Desmond Browne QC, to examine its disciplinary procedures. The Review Group published their report ("the Browne Report") to COIC on 18 July 2012; the full report is available at: <u>http://www.tbtas.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Final-Report-From-The-Council-Of-The-Inns-Of-Court-COIC-Disciplinary-Tribunals-And-Hearings-Review-Group.pdf.</u>

- 2.5 The BSB's aims applicable to the enforcement system are:
 - To act in the public interest;
 - To protect the public and other consumers of legal services;
 - To maintain the high standards of the Bar;
 - To promote confidence in the complaints and disciplinary process, and,
 - To make sure that complaints about conduct are dealt with fairly, consistently and with reasonable speed.
- 2.6 In taking these aims forward, the BSB is committed to ensuring that the Bar's enforcement system operates according to the following objectives:
 - To deal with complaints made against barristers promptly, thoroughly and fairly;
 - To ensure appropriate action is taken against barristers who breach the BSB Handbook; and,
 - To be open, fair, transparent and accessible.
- 2.7 Most decisions regarding the sanctions to impose in relation to professional misconduct are taken by the independent panels appointed by BTAS who are not directly subject to the aims and objectives of the BSB. Nevertheless, BTAS fully supports the BSB's aims and objectives, and urges disciplinary panel members to take them into account when dealing with disciplinary cases.

Section 3 – Purpose and principles of sanctions

- 3.1 The purposes of applying sanctions for professional misconduct are:
 - a. To protect the public and consumers of legal services;
 - b. To maintain high standards of behaviour and performance at the Bar;
 - c. To promote public and professional confidence in the complaints and disciplinary process.
- 3.2 The three purposes of applying sanctions (outlined above) have equal weighting; in fulfilling the purposes it is important to avoid the recurrence of behaviour by the individual or the authorised body as well as provide an example in order to maintain public confidence in the profession. Decision makers must take all of these factors into account when determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in an individual case. Decision makers should also bear in mind that sanctions are preventative and not intended to be punitive in nature but nevertheless may have that effect.

Deterrence and upholding standards

3.3 In some cases, the sanction imposed may be necessary to act as a deterrent to other members of the profession. Therefore, when considering a sanction, it may be necessary not only to deter the individual barrister or authorised body from repeating the behaviour, but also to send a signal to the profession and the public that the particular behaviour will not be tolerated. A deterrent sanction would be most applicable where there is evidence that the behaviour in question seems to be prevalent in relation to numbers of barristers within the profession.

Proportionality

3.4 In deciding what sanctions (if any) to impose, the decision maker should ensure that the sanctions are proportionate, weighing the interests of the public with those of the practitioner or authorised body. Proportionality is not a static concept and will vary according to the nature of the breach and the background of the individual barrister or authorised body. For example, a first time breach of the practising requirements would rarely, if ever, warrant a suspension or disbarment but a similar breach, having been committed many times without remorse or any attempt to remedy the situation, might warrant consideration of suspension or disbarment. Repeated breaches of relatively minor

provisions of the Handbook may indicate a significant lack of organisation, integrity, or insight on the part of the barrister or authorised body which could represent a risk to the public and undermine confidence in the profession. Sanctions should be reflective of the seriousness and circumstances of the conduct e.g. where the incentive for breaching the Handbook was for financial gain the sanction should reflect that. The sanction imposed should be no more onerous than the circumstances require, the lowest proportionate punishment should be imposed in any particular case. The decision maker should consider the totality of the breaches when considering proportionality.

Determining Sanction

3.5 When a panel has found a charge proven or when the respondent admits the misconduct charged, the panel must then consider sanction. The panel then hears submissions on sanction before retiring to determine the appropriate sanction for the breach. Below is a step by step guide to determining sanction:

Step 1 – Consider the following checklist of relevant factors:

- Individual facts of the case breaches of the Handbook will differ significantly. The panel is entitled to form a view based on the individual facts of each case.
- Assessing the seriousness of the breach How serious is the breach? Where does the breach sit on the scale of seriousness?
- Culpability how culpable is the respondent for the breach? Did the breach arise from planned or intentional actions?
- Actual harm or the risk of harm what was the outcome of the breach? Did the breach involve actual harm or the risk of harm? Does the breach impact the general reputation of the bar? Is there harm to the public as a result of the breach?
- Aggravating & mitigating factors see Annex 1 for a list of potential aggravating and mitigating factors, please note this list is not exhaustive.
- Personal circumstances of the individual barrister
- Previous disciplinary/professional record is the barrister or authorised body of previous good professional standing (see paragraphs 7.1 & 7.2)
- Reflect on any equality and diversity factors within the case and the panel's commitment to the Equality Act 2010 (see paragraph 1.6 above).

Step 2 – Look up the offence/breach within the Guidance (Part 2).

Step 3 – Decide whether to reduce, stay or increase the sanction in the circumstances of the case.

Step 4 – Decide whether a concurrent or consecutive sanction would be appropriate.

Step 5 – Give your reasons.

Giving reasons

- 3.6 You must give reasons for the sanction imposed. Reasons need not be unduly extensive but must clearly inform the parties why you have reached the decision you have.
- 3.7 The following points may prove useful when drafting reasons for sanction:
 - Summarise submissions and any evidence offered on sanctions and the Panel's position on them.
 - Explain the Panel's decision and the reasons for that decision with reference to this guidance.
 - Include any aggravating or mitigating factors present within the case that were taken into account.
 - If conditions or suspension have been imposed on the barrister or authorised body, explain the reason(s) for their duration.
 - Explain any significant departure from the Sanctions Guidance

What happens next⁵?

- 3.8 Once the panel have completed, signed and dated the finding and sanction sheet, a detailed Report on Finding and Sanction should be produced outlining the finding/sanction and reasons for that finding /sanction, including any costs or fines and the date by which they should be paid.
- 3.9 All findings of professional misconduct will be published on the BTAS⁶ website within fourteen days of the Tribunal's finding, regardless of whether the sanction has been pronounced or an appeal submitted. All findings of professional misconduct currently remain on the barrister's or authorised body's record indefinitely.

⁵ For an in depth explanation of the procedure of Disciplinary Tribunals see BTAS's *Disciplinary Tribunals Reference Guide* ⁶ See BTAS's *Publication Policy* for further details.

- 3.10 The respondent has 21 days in which to submit a notice of appeal against finding or sanction.
- 3.11 If the respondent has not appealed the finding/sanction or such an appeal by the respondent has been dismissed, the required action will be taken to carry the sanction into effect.

Section 4 – Breaches of the Handbook and professional misconduct

Introduction

4.1 The behaviour of barristers both in their professional lives and, to a limited extent their personal lives, and the behaviour of authorised bodies, is governed by the Handbook, particularly the Code of Conduct which is set out in Part 2 of the Handbook. The BSB is responsible for considering complaints about breaches of the Handbook. Panels of the Independent Decision Making Body (IDP's) and, in some circumstances, staff, have the power to refer complaints for disciplinary action In the case of the IDP's, they also have the power to determine some charges of professional misconduct with the respondent's consent⁷, impose administrative sanctions, and decide to take no further action or dismiss a complaint. The full powers of BSB's staff and the IDB in relation to decision making are set out in Part 5 of the Handbook.

- 4.2 The structure of the Handbook is based on Core Duties supplemented by Conduct Rules which are accompanied by Guidance. Parts 1 -3 of the Handbook list at the beginning the Outcomes the Rules in that section are designed to achieve. The Core Duties and the Rules are mandatory whereas the Guidance is not. Failure to comply with the guidance is not in itself a breach of the Handbook but the barrister or authorised body will need to show how their obligations have been met if they depart from the Guidance. The Outcomes are also not mandatory but will be taken into account by the BSB when considering how to respond to alleged breaches.
- 4.3 Professional misconduct is now defined in the Handbook as "a breach of the Handbook by an applicable person which is not appropriate for disposal by way of the imposition of administrative sanctions". All breaches of the Handbook (i.e. breaches of the Core Duties, Scope of Practice Rules, and the Conduct Rules) can now potentially be dealt with by the imposition of an administrative sanction by the BSB and, by definition, where such disposals are made; the breaches do not constitute professional misconduct. Complaints will only be

⁷ The Determination by Consent Procedure

referred to a Tribunal by the BSB where it does not consider administrative sanctions appropriate. The only exception is where the complaint involves a conviction for dishonesty or deception. In such cases the Complaints Regulations require that the complaint must be referred to a Disciplinary Tribunal.

Powers to address breaches of the Handbook

- 4.4 Where the BSB has decided that administrative sanctions are not an appropriate means to address a complaint, it will only refer a matter to a Disciplinary Tribunal where it considers there is a realistic prospect of a finding of professional misconduct being made and it is in the public interest, having regard to the regulatory objectives, for a referral to be made.
- 4.5 When considering a referral to a Disciplinary Tribunal, the BSB also has the option, in appropriate cases, to direct instead that the complaint be subject to the Determination by Consent procedure (DBC). This procedure allows the IDP to determine charges of professional misconduct on the papers but only with the consent of the barrister or authorised body. The IDP powers of sanctioning under the DBC procedure are limited to reprimands, advice as to future conduct, orders to complete continuing professional development and fines; it cannot impose a suspension or disbar a barrister.

Section 5 – Available sanctions

5.1 This section of the guidance sets out the various sanctions available for breaches of the Handbook. The available sanctions are based on the nature and seriousness of the professional misconduct arising from a breach of the Handbook and vary according to the type of breach. There is nothing to prevent a sanction including more than one sanction and in many cases a combination of sanctions will be appropriate (e.g. a fine, a suspension and advice as to future conduct). A general overview of how to approach each sanction is provided in Section 6. Section 7 includes other important issues to consider.

NOTE: In reaching its finding on a charge of professional misconduct, a Tribunal could determine that the breach is not so serious as to amount to professional misconduct, but nevertheless consider that there is sufficient evidence on the balance of probabilities of a breach of the Handbook by the respondent;. In such circumstances, and if the Tribunal considers that such referral to the Bar Standards Board is proportionate and in the public interest, the Tribunal may direct that the matter(s) be referred to Bar Standards Board for it to consider whether an administrative sanction should be imposed.

Administrative warnings and fines (which are NOT available to Disciplinary Tribunals)

- 5.2 The BSB may impose an administrative sanction on a BSB regulated person where:
 - the BSB is satisfied on the balance of probabilities that the breach has occurred; and
 - the BSB considers that to impose an administrative sanction is proportionate and sufficient in the public interest
- 5.3 The BSB may impose the following administrative sanctions:
 - A warning
 - A fixed penalty fine; and;
 - A fine of up to £1,000.

Professional misconduct

- 5.4 The sanctions available for professional misconduct by barristers are:
 - Disbarment (only available to a five-person Disciplinary Tribunal);
 - Suspension from practice (a three-person panel can only impose a suspension of up to twelve months for acts or omissions that took place after 6th January 2014. For acts omissions that took place before 6th January 2014 a three person panel may suspend up to 3 months; there is no limit on the period of suspension a five-person panel can impose, although more than 3 years is thought to be tantamount to disbarment);
 - Order that their practising certificate should not be renewed;
 - Order that their conditions be imposed on their practising certificate;
 - Prohibition (temporary or permanent) from accepting public access instructions;
 - Their authorisation to conduct litigation be removed, suspended, or be subject to conditions;
 - Exclusion from providing representation funded by the Legal Aid Agency;
 - A fine of up to £50,000 (for acts or omissions that took place on or after 6th January 2014) A fine of up to £15,000 (for acts or omissions that took place on or after 31 March 2009) or up to £5,000 (for acts or omissions that took place prior to 31 March 2009);
 - Additional CPD requirements, including in specific areas of law;
 - Reprimand;
 - Advice as to future conduct.
- 5.5 The sanctions available for professional misconduct by BSB legal services bodies are:
 - Removal of its authorisation to practise;
 - Suspension of its authorisation to practise for a prescribed period (either unconditionally or subject to conditions);
 - Conditions on its authorisation to practise;

- Withdrawal, or suspension of its authorisation to conduct litigation or imposition of conditions on it;
- Order that it, as a licensed body, be re-classified (either unconditionally or with conditions imposed on its licence to practise as a licensed body);
- A fine of up to £250,000;
- An order that its managers or employees complete continuing professional development of such nature and duration as the Tribunal may direct;
- Reprimand;
- Advice as to future conduct;
- 5.6 The sanctions available for professional misconduct by BSB licensed bodies are:
 - Revocation of its licence to practise;
 - Suspension of its licence to practise for a prescribed period (either unconditionally or subject to conditions);
 - Conditions on its licence to practise;
 - Withdrawal, or suspension of its right to conduct litigation or imposition of conditions on it;
 - A fine of up to £250,000,000;
 - An order that its managers or employees complete continuing professional development of such nature and duration as the Tribunal may direct;
 - Reprimand;
 - Advice as to future conduct;
- 5.7 Disciplinary Tribunals have the power to award costs to either party. A Costs Order is not a sanction and therefore not covered in this guidance. The sanctioning decision should precede, and is independent of, any consideration of an application in respect of costs. However, in the case *Matthews v Solicitors Regulation Authority [2013]*⁸ the High Court held that means should be taken into account when tribunals consider costs and fines combined.

⁸ EWHC 1525 (Admin)

Section 6 – General approach to individual charges and sanctions

6.1 This section gives guidance on the approach to take in relation to the application of individual sanctions. For clarity it is written in such a way as to refer to individual barristers, but this approach should also be used as guidance for determining equivalent sanctions for BSB authorised bodies. Decision makers should always take into account that a combination of sanctions may be appropriate in relation to a single breach of the Handbook. Also, it is important that the terms of any sanction are clear and therefore guidance is provided in Annex 2 as to the suggested wording to be used on findings and sanction sheets.

Dishonesty

6.2 Any dishonesty on the part of a member of the Bar, in whatever circumstances it may occur, is a matter of great seriousness. It damages the reputation of the profession as a whole, quite apart from its effect on the reputation of the individual barrister. Dishonesty is incompatible with the duties placed on barristers to safeguard the interests of their clients and their overriding duty to the court. Public interest requires, and the general public expects, that members of the Bar are completely honest and are of the highest integrity. Therefore, in cases where it has been proved that a barrister has been dishonest, even where no criminal offence has been committed, disbarment will almost always have to be considered (see Part II section B - Dishonesty). For guidance on dealing with situations where the barrister has been, or may have been, dishonest during the course of proceedings, see paragraph 7.5.

Disbarment (Disciplinary Tribunal only)

6.3 The sanction of disbarment is only available to five-person Disciplinary Tribunals. Disbarment is the most serious sanction that can be imposed and should be reserved for cases where the need to protect the public or the need to maintain confidence in the profession is such that the barrister should be removed from the profession. It is not possible to provide a definitive list of the circumstances in which disbarment will be appropriate as it will depend on the facts of the case and the individual background of the barrister. However, as Sir Thomas Bingham M.R. stated in *Bolton v The Law Society [1994] 2 All ER 486*:

"To maintain [the] reputation and sustain public confidence in the profession, it is often necessary that those guilty of serious lapses are not only expelled but denied readmission The reputation of the profession is more important than the fortunes of any individual member."

Therefore, disbarment may be appropriate where the Tribunal is satisfied that one or more of the following factors apply:

- The barrister has engaged in a serious and/or persistent departure or departures from professional standards;
- b. serious harm has been caused to either the administration of justice, the reputation of the Bar or any person including the individual complainant and there is a continuing risk to the public or the reputation of the profession if the barrister is permitted to continue in practice;
- c. the barrister has been convicted of a serious criminal offence involving dishonesty, violence or sexual offences;
- d. the barrister has acted dishonestly regardless of whether it was in connection with a criminal offence (see 6.2 above);
- e. the barrister has shown a persistent lack of insight into the seriousness of his/her actions or the consequences for his/her practice, the administration of justice or the reputation of the Bar.
- 6.4 In the case of *SRA v Sharma*⁹ Mr Justice Coulson outlined the following points in relation to the appropriate sanction for dishonesty (at paragraph 13):
 - a. Save in exceptional circumstances, a finding of dishonesty will lead to the solicitor being struck off the roll, see *Bolton*¹⁰ and *Salsbury*¹¹. That is the normal and necessary penalty in cases of dishonesty, see *Bultitude*¹².
 - b. There will be a small residual category where striking off will be a disproportionate sanction in all the circumstances, see *Salsbury*.

⁹ Solicitors Regulation Authority v Sharma [2010] EWHC 2022 (Admin)

¹⁰ Bolton v the Law Society [1994] 1 WLR 512

¹¹ Salsbury v Law Society [2008] EWCA Civ 1285

¹² Bultitude v the Law Society [2004] EWCA civ 1853

c. In deciding whether or not a particular case falls into that category, relevant factors will include the nature, scope and extent of the dishonesty itself; whether it was momentary, such as *Burrowes*¹³, or over a lengthy period of time, such as *Bultitude*; whether it was a benefit to the solicitor, and whether it had an adverse effect on others.

Suspension from practice (Disciplinary Tribunal only)

- 6.5 This sanction is only available to Disciplinary Tribunals. Suspension from practice is a serious matter and should be reserved for cases where the barrister represents a risk to the public which requires that he/she be unable to practise for a period of time and/or the behaviour is so serious as to undermine public confidence in the profession and therefore a signal needs to be sent to the barrister, the profession and the public that the behaviour in question is unacceptable.
- 6.6 Relevant factors to take into account are not limited to but include:
 - a. actual harm or the risk of harm to the public;
 - b. the seriousness of any breach of the Handbook;
 - c. abuse of position or abuse of trust;
 - d. the barrister has shown a lack of insight into and understanding of his/her actions and their consequences;
 - e. the barrister has shown a lack of integrity that is not so serious as to warrant disbarment; and
 - f. the behaviour is likely to be repeated or has been repeated since the initial incident.
- 6.7 **Period of suspension:** it is usual to impose a suspension for a specified period of time. The Disciplinary Tribunal Regulations do not stipulate an upper limit to the period of suspension a five person panel can impose. However, very long periods of suspension are tantamount to disbarment and therefore where a suspension of more than three years is considered appropriate, the Disciplinary Tribunal should give serious consideration to disbarring the barrister unless the circumstances are exceptional. The Visitors to the Inns of Court stated in

¹³ Burrowes v Law Society [2002] EWHC 2900 (QB)

the case of *Durand* (1961) that *"three years ... must ... be the maximum sentence of suspension which in practice can properly be given"*. Any period of suspension will inevitably have a serious negative impact on the barrister's level of knowledge and up to date experience. Therefore, the longer the period of suspension the more difficult it will be for the barrister to return to practice as an effective advocate. If exceptionally a period of suspension longer than three years is considered appropriate, it should be combined with conditions regarding retraining so as to ensure that before the barrister returns to practice appropriate refresher training has been undertaken.

- 6.8 **Suspension subject to conditions:** while a specific period of suspension is the norm it is also acceptable to make the period of suspension unless or until the occurrence of a specified event or completion of a specified activity. For example, a barrister could be suspended pending completion of practising requirements, a specified training course or other similar activity. In these circumstances, the suspension would cease when acceptable evidence is provided to the BSB of the relevant activity being completed. The Tribunal should ensure that the terms of any order of suspension from practice are clear particularly where conditions on the suspension are imposed. Barristers should be in no doubt about what actions they need to take to bring a suspension to an end and what evidence they need to present to allow the suspension to be lifted.
- 6.9 **Postponing the start date of a period of suspension:** Tribunals are enabled to defer the date when a period of suspension comes into effect. This applies to suspensions of less than three months and in exceptional circumstances (e.g. impact on clients).

Prohibition from accepting public access instructions (Disciplinary Tribunal only)

6.10 This sanction is only available to Disciplinary Tribunals. It is generally applicable in cases where the barrister was acting under formal Public Access instructions; however, there may be circumstances where a barrister's treatment of a client, even when instructed by a solicitor, indicates that the barrister should not be allowed to accept Public Access instructions. It is a requirement that any barrister providing this type of access must have completed a Public Access training course and must also provide the client, in advance, with prescribed information about the terms and extent of the work that can be carried out. Clients who instruct barristers by this means are exposed to greater risk than those who use a solicitor and therefore panels need to look carefully at whether the barrister's behaviour

represents a risk to the public which requires some level of restriction on his/her ability to continue accepting public access instructions.

6.11 In general, such a sanction would be appropriate where the barrister's behaviour directly relates to, or arises from, the circumstances of the public access instructions. For example, the barrister has either failed completely, or in part, to comply with the prescribed terms for Public Access or has in some way exploited the Public Access relationship to the detriment of the client. In particular, panels should take into account the manner in which the barrister has handled the issue of fees including both the way in which the fee level has been set and the arrangements for payment. A time-limited prohibition would be appropriate where the barrister's behaviour indicates a level of risk that could be addressed via a period of contemplation and a review of his/her practices which would mitigate the potential risk to clients (this may apply to situations where the barrister has failed during the proceedings to recognise the seriousness of the effect of his or her conduct). A permanent prohibition would be appropriate where there is evidence that the barrister has intentionally exploited the relationship, has persistently provided a poor service to clients, has charged unreasonable rates, or has taken on instructions with no chance of success.

Fines (Disciplinary Tribunal or Independent Decision Making Panel)

- 6.12 The imposition of a fine is a sanction that can easily be combined with other sanctions and decision makers should always consider whether this would be appropriate. The maximum limit of a fine for a barrister is £50,000 (£250,000,000 for Licensed Bodies) but fines at the upper end of the scale should be reserved for serious breaches of the Handbook where the individual or body does not represent an on-going risk to the public but appears to have profited substantially from the breach. Fines, on the whole, are a "deterrent" sanction and their main purpose is to mark the severity of the breach and prevent its re-occurrence.
- 6.13 **The means of the barrister:** The decision maker should first decide if a fine is the appropriate sanction, then consider the appropriate level of fine based on the breach, and finally look at adjusting the fine level in order to take into account a barrister's financial situation. A fine should not be increased merely because a barrister can afford it but it is reasonable to reduce the level of fine to take into account the barrister's financial circumstances or increase it where there is evidence that indicates that the barrister has profited from the

breach. All respondents will be invited by BTAS to bring evidence of financial means to the Tribunal in readiness for sanction (in the event the charge is proven and is subject to a fine).

- 6.14 **Time to pay and instalments:** When a decision maker orders that a fine should be paid, the sum will technically become due for payment immediately after the appeal period has expired or, in the case of Determination by Consent, when the finding is accepted by the barrister. It is, however, good practice for decision makers to specify when the fine is due in their decision (see paragraph A2.8 for wording of the sanction).
- 6.15 Decision makers should bear in mind that it is open to a barrister to negotiate a payment plan with the BSB following a Tribunal. In most cases, the issue of payment by instalments is better left to the BSB to negotiate with the barrister after the hearing as the BSB will be able to make more detailed enquiries regarding the barrister's financial situation and will have time to negotiate a mutually acceptable plan. Where decision makers consider that it is appropriate to order an instalment plan they should take into account the cost to the BSB of administering the plan. It is helpful to limit any instalment plan to a maximum period of twelve months because small instalments over a lengthy period of time can be expensive to administer and involve costs to the profession far in excess of the original fine. Additionally, lengthy instalment plans can lead to substantial delay in it becoming apparent that action needs to be taken for non-compliance.

Continuing Professional Development

- 6.16 The purpose of ordering that a barrister complete additional Continuing Professional Development (CPD) is to ensure that barristers are sufficiently trained and knowledgeable in areas where the breach of the Handbook may demonstrate that they are lacking in the required expertise. It is a rehabilitative sanction and can often be appropriately combined with other sanctions. Decision makers should avoid making a general order to complete further CPD but instead specify the nature and duration of the additional training that is required. Further, the order should stipulate a specific date by which the CPD should be completed and the completion reported to the BSB. Additional CPD should not be imposed solely as a punishment but should serve a useful purpose that will help to prevent the breach of the Handbook being repeated in the future.
- 6.17 Where a barrister has failed to complete the required CPD for a particular year or years, then it is important that the decision maker orders that the outstanding CPD be completed within

a specified period. It may also be appropriate to order that failure to complete the outstanding CPD within the specified period will result in an automatic suspension from practice for a specified period.

Reprimands (Disciplinary Tribunal or Independent Decision Making Panel)

- 6.18 A reprimand is appropriate in cases where the breach of the Handbook is at the lower end of the professional misconduct scale and there is no continuing risk to the public but the decision maker wishes to indicate formally that the behaviour is unacceptable and should not occur again. A reprimand is a "backwards looking" sanction and represents censure of previous behaviour. It is therefore appropriate where the behaviour is unlikely to be repeated in the future. The sanction should include an order as to how the reprimand should be made. In most cases, it will be made at the Tribunal and probably form part of the general sanctions decision. However, Tribunals may consider that it is appropriate to order that the reprimand be made in the form of a written document. Reprimands can be given by the decision maker at the hearing or in the report, or by ordering the barrister to attend on a nominated person to be reprimanded. Relevant positive factors that would indicate whether a reprimand is appropriate include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. no evidence of loss to any person including the individual complainant;
 - b. appreciation and understanding on behalf of the barrister of the failings;
 - c. the behaviour was isolated;
 - d. the behaviour was not intentional (not applicable in cases of discrimination);
 - e. genuine expressions of regret/remorse; and
 - f. previous good history.

Advice as to future conduct (Disciplinary Tribunal or Independent Decision Making Panel)

6.19 Advice as to future conduct will be appropriate in cases where the professional misconduct has not had any lasting consequences for the complainant but the decision maker considers it would be helpful if the barrister is given some guidance as to how to behave in the future. Advice is a "forward looking" sanction which should be used where it is thought that the barrister needs to change his/her behaviour. Advice as to future conduct would be

particularly appropriate where a barrister appears to have a lack of appreciation or understanding of the nature of the conduct and the reasons why disciplinary action was considered necessary. The advice can be given by the decision maker at the hearing or in the report, or by ordering the barrister to attend on a nominated person to be given advice. Such sanctions are particularly appropriate where the barrister is inexperienced in the profession and could benefit from guidance rather than censure.

No further action (Disciplinary Tribunal¹⁴ or Independent Decision Making Panel)

6.20 The option to take no further action in cases where a breach of the Handbook has been proved is open to all decision makers. It is only appropriate where the barrister's behaviour presents no risk to the public and there are no on-going or lasting effects in relation to the behaviour. Taking no further action would be appropriate in cases where the barrister has fully acknowledged the breach; the effects of bringing disciplinary action have already had a significant impact on the barrister's reputation or practice and where no purpose would be served by ordering other sanctions.

¹⁴ Please note this sanction is only available to Disciplinary Tribunals where there has been a finding of professional misconduct.

Section 7 – Other important issues to consider

Character evidence

- 7.1 Barristers are entitled, as part of their mitigation, to put forward character references/witnesses to support their submissions. However, while such evidence can be relevant to the sanctions imposed, it should be treated with caution and panels should be wary of becoming distracted from the main issues by an abundance of character evidence. The fact that a barrister was previously of "good character" and has a good reputation, can only go so far in mitigating his/her behaviour and the more serious the breach, the less weight should be attached to character evidence. The emphasis should be on the nature of the breach and the circumstances in which the breach occurred.
- 7.2 If the character evidence indicates that the person providing it knows the barrister well and has a clear basis for assessing that the behaviour in question was a genuine anomaly/one-off then some weight should be given to it. However, if the character evidence indicates that the person supplying it can only have limited direct knowledge of the barrister, then it should be treated with caution and it may be that little or no weight can be given to it. The general approach should be that character evidence is treated with caution and should not unduly affect the sanctions imposed: a person of good character and impeccable reputation can still commit breaches of the Handbook that, by virtue of the finding of professional misconduct, are serious and warrant the same sanctions as any other barrister. Authors of testimonials will be expected to have been informed of the charges. The better and longer the author has known the barrister, the more weight the testimonial is likely to carry.

Fitness to practise

7.3 Within the BSB's regulatory arrangements, the term "fitness to practise" is only used when considering whether a barrister is unfit to practise due to health reasons (including addiction). The Fitness to Practise Rules are contained at Section E Part 5 of the BSB Handbook. Fitness to Practise proceedings are not disciplinary in nature and are run entirely separately from any disciplinary proceedings. The primary purpose is to ensure the protection of the public by considering whether a barrister is medically fit to practise and if not, imposing any necessary restrictions.

- 7.4 Some disciplinary cases may give rise to concern about a barrister's fitness to practise as a result of material submitted as part of the barrister's defence and/or mitigation or as a result of their behaviour during the proceedings. This will often include information relating to, or indicating, an on-going or recurring addiction or mental health problem. If a decision maker has information before it that gives rise to concern about a barrister's fitness to practise, it should:
 - a. Proceed with making a decision on the case before it based on all the facts and evidence;
 - b. State in the decision sheet (along with the decision on the case) that there is concern about the barrister's fitness to practise and give reasons for such concern (including reference to relevant documents); and
 - c. Formally refer their concerns to the BSB, who will consider the evidence and, if necessary, invoke the relevant procedure under the Fitness to Practise Rules.

Dishonesty during the course of disciplinary proceedings

7.5 Where the barrister or authorised body is not facing a specific charge alleging dishonest conduct, but the panel nonetheless decides that he/she/it has engaged in dishonest behaviour during the course of the disciplinary proceedings, the panel may refer the matter to the BSB to consider raising a fresh complaint. The panel must sanction the barrister or authorised body only in relation to the charges currently before it; however, it should ensure that it details the circumstances and basis of any concerns of dishonest behaviour by the barrister or authorised body as this will be relied upon in any future disciplinary proceedings.

Multiple charges

7.6 Panels should not impose one sanction for the most serious charge because this can cause problems if the barrister or authorised body decides to appeal. If a decision is taken on appeal to overturn the finding or sanction on the most serious charge but not any of the other charges, it can be difficult to establish what sanction should apply to those charges that remain or determine how seriously the original Tribunal viewed each of the remaining charges. To avoid this situation Panels should impose a separate sanction for each charge.

Concurrent and consecutive/cumulative sanctions save in respect of fines (which by definition are cumulative)

7.7 Where there are multiple proved charges that warrant a sanction on each charge, the decision maker will have to decide whether the sanction on each charge should run concurrently or consecutively: imposing a concurrent sanction means that the sanctions will run alongside each other, whereas imposing a consecutive sanction means that the sanctions will run after each other. Decision makers should be cautious about imposing consecutive sanctions unless they are sure that the totality of the consecutive sanctions is warranted based on the cumulative seriousness of the charges. See paragraph 6.7 when considering consecutive suspensions.

Suspension of practising certificate pending appeal

- 7.8 The Disciplinary Tribunal Regulations give Disciplinary Tribunals the power to order that the BSB suspend a barrister's practising certificate pending the outcome of an appeal where the sanction imposed is one of more than one year's suspension or disbarment. This power is different from imposing a sanction of suspension or disbarment in that suspension of a practising certificate only affects the barrister's ability to provide legal services as a barrister. Only the Inns of Court have the ability to disbar barristers.
- 7.9 The need for a provision that allows the BSB to suspend the right to have a practising certificate arises because sanctions imposed by Tribunals will not be implemented until after the outcome of any appeal is known. Clearly where a Tribunal considers that the barrister represents an immediate risk to the public which warrants a lengthy suspension or disbarment, it would be wrong to allow the barrister to continue practising or be eligible to obtain a practising certificate merely because an appeal has been submitted. The Regulations stipulate that a Tribunal should order that the barrister's practising certificate be suspended pending appeal unless there is good reason not do so.

Reporting a barrister's unsuitability as a pupil supervisor

7.10 In any case where a barrister is a pupil supervisor and the breach of the Handbook indicates that the barrister may no longer be suitable to continue in that role, the decision maker

should order that a report be made to the barrister's Inn so that consideration can be given to removing the barrister from the list of pupil supervisors. Decision makers do not have the power to order that a barrister's status as a pupil supervisor be removed: this is a matter solely for the Inns of Court but it is important that a report is made to the relevant Inn where the circumstances warrant it.

PART II – GUIDANCE ON BREACHES OF THE HANDBOOK

- The structure of the Handbook regulating barristers has been transformed in its entirety. The BSB Handbook is based on outcomes focused and risk based approach to regulation, the Code of Conduct contained within Part 2 of the Handbook includes the ten Core duties applicable to all barristers:
 - **Core Duty 1:** You must observe your duty to the court and the administration of justice.
 - **Core Duty 2:** You must act in the best interests of each client.
 - **Core Duty 3:** You must act with honesty and with integrity.
 - **Core Duty 4:** You must maintain your independence.
 - **Core Duty 5:** You must not behave in a way which is likely to diminish the trust and confidence which the public places in you or the profession.
 - **Core Duty 6:** You must keep the affairs of each client confidential.
 - **Core Duty 7:** You must provide a competent standard of work and service to each client.
 - **Core Duty 8:** You must not discriminate unlawfully against any person. Core Duty 9: You must be open and co-operative with your regulators.
 - **Core Duty 10:** You must take reasonable steps to manage your practice, or carry out your role within your practice, competently and in such a way to achieve compliance with your legal and regulatory obligations.
- 2. These Core Duties underpin the Handbook and the BSB's entire regulatory framework. The Core Duties are supplemented by rules in Part 2 of the Handbook, both the Core Duties and the rules are mandatory. The Handbook also contains details of outcomes which compliance with the Core Duties and rules is designed to achieve. The outcomes provide guidance to the BSB when considering if a breach of the Core Duties and/or rules has occurred but they do not alone amount to a breach of the Handbook. Charges for professional misconduct therefore may be brought under the Core Duties and/or the rules.
- 3. This section provides guidance in relation to the starting points for sanctions in respect of the most common breaches of the Handbook. For ease of reference the most common breaches have been set out in the same order as can be found in the Handbook.

4. The guidance is not intended to represent a tariff for the breaches and decision makers must decide each case on its own facts. The suggested sanctions do not necessarily

represent the most likely sanction to be given and the guidance merely indicates where a decision maker might start before considering all the relevant factors.

- 5. It is important that consistency and proportionality in sanctions are maintained and therefore where a decision maker imposes a lesser or higher sanction than suggested by this guidance, it is important that full reasons are given as to why the sanction is considered appropriate. This will not only give the barrister or authorised body and the complainant a clear indication of the reasons for a lenient or harsh sanction but will provide justification for the decision should the case go to appeal..
- 6. In assessing the appropriate sanction for a professional misconduct, decision makers must consider any aggravating or mitigating factors that may cause the sanction to be increased or decreased. Details of aggravating and mitigating factors applicable to all breaches are set out in Annex 1. In addition to this, the individual tables relating to common breaches provide examples of aggravating and mitigating factors applicable to each breach. The factors listed are examples and the lists are not intended to be exhaustive.
- 7. It must be made clear that the guidance in relation to the common breaches is not intended to detract from decision maker's complete discretion to impose any sanction which is appropriate to an individual case: the final decision is a matter for decision makers alone.
- In relation to fines and suspensions, rather than including specific amounts, the following table shows the three bands for fines and suspensions which are referred to in the rest of Part 2.

Levels of Fines and Suspensions

A. Barristers

Fines	Suspensions
Low level = up to £1,000	Short = up to 3 months
Medium level = over £1,000 and up to £3,000	Medium = over 3 months and up to 6 months
High level = over £3,000 and up to £50,000	Long = over 6 months and up to three years

B. BSB Legal Services Bodies

Fines	Suspensions
Low level = up to £5,000	Short = up to 3 months
Medium level = up to £15,000	Medium = over 3 months and up to 6 months
High level = up to £250,000	Long = over 6 months and up to three years

C. BSB Licensed Bodies

Fines	Suspensions
Low level = up to £5,000	Short = up to 3 months
Medium level = up to £15,000	Medium = over 3 months and up to 6 months
High level = up to £250,000,000	Long = over 6 months and up to three years

When determining the level of any fine to be imposed on a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body it is important to have regard to the circumstances of the Body, including its size, turnover and profitability, after considering the seriousness of the case. As a guide it may be helpful to take into account what fine would be imposed on an individual barrister in a similar case (if applicable), and then consider whether a larger fine in proportion to the Body's larger size would be appropriate.

9. When sanctioning non-practising barristers in cases where the Sanctions Guidance recommends a period of suspension, non-practising barristers should be prevented from renewing their practising certificate for the same period of time.

Section A – The barrister and the court

A.1 Misleading the Court

Description

Barristers and BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies are under a duty not to mislead the Court or allow or encourage others to mislead the Court and doing so is usually considered to be a serious breach of the Handbook. This may be a breach of Core Duty 1; and is likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C1, rule C3 1 (2.C1.rC3): Every barrister owes a duty to the court to act with independence in the interests of justice. This duty overrides any inconsistent obligation that the barrister may have.

As the circumstances surrounding this offence can vary widely, the appropriate sanction may range from giving advice to disbarment. One key element that could warrant more serious sanctions for this breach is whether or not the breach was committed intentionally.

Listed below are possible circumstances in which breaches might occur set out according to severity.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point	
a.	Recklessly misleading the Court	Reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct	
b.	Knowingly misleading or encouraging	A short suspension to disbarment / revocation	
	others to mislead the Court	of licence or authorisation to practise	
Aggravating factors		Mitigating factors	
•	 Personal or professional advantage 	 Immediate apology 	
	gained (financial or otherwise)	 Remedial action taken at an early 	
•	 Negative impact on the complainant, 	point	
	client or other party		
•	 Actions of the barrister adversely 		
	affected the course of the proceedings.		
NB - Acting on a client's instructions should not be considered as a mitigating factor as this runs			
cont	contrary to a barrister's overriding duty to the Court.		
A.2 Abusing the role of an advocate

Description

Barristers and BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies have a duty to ensure they do not make, allow or encourage submissions, or draft documents, that are not properly arguable or supported by evidence. In particular, allegations of fraud should be supported by evidence regardless of the client's instructions. This may be a breach of Core Duty 1; these types of breaches are likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C.1, rule C6 (II. C1.rC6): a barrister has a duty not to mislead the court or to permit the court to be misled. However, depending on the nature of the circumstances, it may also breach Core Duty 3 and may be charged under Part 2, section C2, rule C9 (2.C2.rC9).

As the circumstances surrounding these breaches can vary widely, the appropriate sanction may range from giving advice to disbarment (revocation of licence or authorisation to practise for entities). One key factor to take into account in determining the level of sanction is whether the breach was committed intentionally. Protection of the public is particularly relevant in relation to allegations of fraud; as such allegations are usually made against an individual and therefore may impact on the credibility and reputation of that person.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Recklessly making unsupported submissions	Reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct
b.	Recklessly making allegations of fraud	Medium level fine to short suspension
с.	Making intentional unsupported submissions or allegations of fraud	Medium suspension to disbarment / revocation of licence or authorisation or licence to practise
Agg	ravating factors	Mitigating factors
	 Personal or professional advantage gained (financial or otherwise) Negative impact on the complainant, client or other party Dishonest motive 	 Immediate apology For BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies Counsel did not follow instructions from the Body Remedial action taken at an early point

Description

NB - Acting on a client's instructions should not be considered as a mitigating factor as this runs

contrary to a barrister's overriding duty to the Court.

Section B – Behaving ethically

B.1 Conviction for drink driving and related offences

Description

In general a criminal conviction is a serious matter for barristers given their role in the administration of justice and the need to maintain public confidence in the profession. A criminal conviction for drink driving is likely to be a breach of Core duty 3 and/or Core Duty 5 see Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, Guidance paragraph C25 (2.C2.gC25).

It may also be accompanied by a separate charge for any other related convictions, such as dangerous driving.

The sanction that is imposed should relate to the breach of the Handbook for a barrister having been convicted of a criminal offence. It is not intended to be a second form of punishment, or "double jeopardy", for the actual criminal offence.

The starting point for a first time conviction for drink driving should normally be a reprimand and a low level fine. Where a conviction results in a custodial sentence, the general starting point should be disbarment unless there are clear mitigating factors that indicate that such a sanction is not warranted.

It is important to note that an underlying addiction to alcohol is excluded from the Equality Act 2010 and is not considered a disability.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	A first time conviction for drink driving only	A reprimand and a low level fine
b.	A conviction for drink driving that involves an element of dangerous driving	A medium level fine and/or a short suspension
С.	A conviction for drink driving that is accompanied by further related convictions (e.g. leaving the scene, driving whilst disqualified)	A short to medium suspension

Description Aggravating factors Mitigating factors • Injury to persons • Compelling emergency situation • High alcohol level • Lack of cooperation with the police

B.2 Conviction for assault and violent acts

Description

A criminal conviction for violence is likely to be a breach of Core duty 3 and/or Core Duty 5 see Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, Guidance paragraph C25 (2.C2.gC25).

The guidance below is also applicable to charges relating to domestic violence, which should not be treated any less seriously than other forms of violence.

The sanction that is imposed should relate to the breach of the Handbook for a barrister having been convicted of a criminal offence. It is not intended to be a second form of punishment, or "double jeopardy", for the actual criminal offence.

The starting point for a conviction of minor assault should normally be a reprimand and a medium level fine, which may increase to a short suspension. Where a conviction results in a custodial sentence, the general starting point should be disbarment unless there are clear mitigating factors that indicate that such a sanction is not warranted.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	A conviction for low level assault	Reprimand and medium level fine to a short suspension
b.	A conviction for an act of violence causing injury	A medium level suspension
с.	A conviction for an act of serious violence	Disbarment (or in exceptional circumstances, a long suspension)
Aggravating factors		Mitigating factors
	Previous criminal convictionsLack of cooperation with the police	 Isolated incident in difficult and unusual circumstances

Description

- Use of a weapon
- Victim was particularly vulnerable
- Intent to cause harm
- Discriminatory motivation
- Serious injury to the victim

B.3 Conviction for drug possession or supply

• Element of self-protection or protection of others/property

Description

A criminal conviction for drug possession or supply is likely to be a breach of Core duty 3 and/or Core Duty 5 see Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, Guidance paragraph C25 (2.C2.gC25)

The sanction that is imposed should represent the offence under the Handbook for a barrister having been convicted of a criminal offence. It is not intended to be a second form of punishment, or "double jeopardy", for the actual criminal offence.

The starting point for a conviction of drug possession (normally tried in the Magistrates' Court) should be a reprimand and a medium level fine. Where a conviction results in a custodial sentence, the general starting point should be disbarment unless there are clear mitigating factors that indicate that such a sanction is not warranted.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	A conviction for drug possession (any class)	A reprimand and a medium level fine
b.	A conviction for supply or intent to supply (any class)	Disbarment
		1
Agg	ravating factors	Mitigating factors
	Previous criminal convictions	Mitigating factorsNo intention to gain financially

B.4 Convictions of BSB Licensed/Authorised Bodies

Description

A BSB Licensed / Authorised Body convicted of an offence that potentially brings the profession into disrepute is likely to be a breach of Core Duty 3 and/or Core Duty 5 see Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, Guidance paragraph C25 (2.C2.gC25).

By way of example, such convictions may include any of the following: offences for Revenue or VAT fraud, offences arising under Health and Safety legislation, offences arising under Data Protection legislation, or offences arising under the legislation relating to Immigration.

The sanction that is imposed should relate to the breach of the Handbook for a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body having been convicted of an offence. It is not intended to be a second form of punishment, or "double jeopardy", for the actual offence.

The starting point for a conviction relating to a minor matter should normally be a reprimand and a medium level fine, which may increase to a short suspension of the BSB Licensed / Authorised Body's licence or authorisation to practise. Where a conviction results in a custodial sentence for an entity's employees, or amounts to an act of dishonesty by the BSB Licensed / Authorised Body, the general starting point should be revocation of the licence or authorisation to practise unless there are clear mitigating factors that indicate that such a sanction is not warranted.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	A conviction for a minor offence	Reprimand and low or medium level fine to a short suspension
b.	A conviction for a more serious matter	A medium level suspension
с.	A conviction for a serious matter, and/or amounting to an act of dishonesty	Revocation of licence or authorisation to practise (or in exceptional circumstances, a long suspension)
Aggi	avating factors	Mitigating factors
	regulatory bodies.	 Isolated incident in difficult and unusual circumstances

B.5 Dishonesty

Description

Dishonesty is likely to be a breach of Core duty 3 and/or Core Duty 5 see Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, Guidance paragraph C25 (2.C2.gC25).

Please see paragraphs 6.2 above regarding the general approach to be taken towards dishonesty within the profession.

There are a number of different types of breaches of the Handbook that involve dishonesty and different charges that may be brought in relation to each.

Dishonesty can amount to criminal dishonesty (even though no criminal charges may have been brought - see also criminal convictions) or personal, professional or corporate dishonesty that does not amount to a crime.

Some examples of dishonest behaviour that may form the basis for charges of professional misconduct include:

Making a false declaration on Call

Inflating marks or experience on an application form

Falsification of documents

Certain types of criminal convictions, such as theft, perjury, or fraud

Deliberate misuse of client money

Dishonesty in connection with disciplinary proceedings (see paragraph 7.5)

False or misleading statements on websites / promotional material

Dishonesty is not compatible with practise in a profession which requires exceptional levels of integrity. The general starting point should be disbarment (or revocation of licence or authorisation to practise for BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies) unless there are clear mitigating factors that indicate that such a sanction is not warranted. Therefore, no possible circumstances are listed below but instead the emphasis should be on the potential mitigating factors that might reduce the sanction from disbarment.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.		Disbarment / revocation of licence or authorisation to practise, disqualification of authorised individuals
Agg	ravating factors	Mitigating factors
		 Clear evidence that behaviour was out of character and the consequences were not

Behaviour limited to personal life and no evidence of dishonesty in professional life (see 7.1.8.7.2 of this guidence)	Description	
(see 7.1 & 7.2 of this guidance)		

B.6 Discrimination and harassment

Description

Unlawful discrimination and harassment is likely to be a breach of Core Duty 8 (a barrister must not discriminate unlawfully against any person) and is likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, rule C12 (2.C2.rC12); a barrister must not discriminate unlawfully against, victimise or harass any other person on the grounds of race, colour, ethnic or national origin, nationality, citizenship, sex, disability, gender re-assignment, sexual orientation, marital or civil partnership status, pregnancy, maternity, age, religion or belief.

This type of breach is not intended to cover the situation where a barrister has been convicted of a criminal offence for harassment in his/her personal capacity. This section relates to behaviour in the course of a barrister's or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body's professional work that amounts to any form of harassment or bullying.

The starting point for a finding of either discrimination or harassment should be a medium level fine, although a suspension or disbarment (revocation of licence or authorisation to practise for BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies) would be appropriate in circumstances where the behaviour is of a serious nature and/or continues over an extended period of time.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	An isolated incident which had a limited impact on the complainant	A medium level fine
b.	The behaviour took place over an extended period of time and/or the barrister was in a position of power or acting in a supervisory role	A high level fine and a short suspension
с.	Physical or particularly strong verbal actions towards a vulnerable individual or group	A medium suspension to disbarment / revocation of licence or authorisation to practise
Aggi	ravating factors	Mitigating factors

Description	
 A significant negative impact on the victim 	 Immediate apology
 Failure to accept responsibility for actions The vulnerability of the victim in the circumstances 	

B.7 Misconduct of a sexual nature

Description

Misconduct of a sexual nature is likely to be a breach of Core duty 3 and/or Core Duty 5 see Part 2 of the Handbook, section C2, Guidance paragraph C25 (2.C2.gC25).

The guidance below is also applicable to charges relating to a range of circumstances ranging from inappropriate sexual conduct in a professional context (e.g. between a supervisor and their pupil) through to convictions for sexual offences.

In the case of criminal convictions for sexual offences, the sanction that is imposed should relate to the breach of the Handbook for a barrister having been convicted of a criminal offence. It is not intended to be a second form of punishment, or "double jeopardy", for the actual criminal offence.

The starting point for minor offences of inappropriate sexual conduct in a professional context should normally be a reprimand and a medium level fine. Where a conviction results in a custodial sentence, the general starting point should be disbarment unless there are clear mitigating factors that indicate that such a sanction is not warranted. Listed below are common circumstances in which breaches might occur set out according to severity.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Inappropriate sexual conduct in a professional context	Reprimand and medium level fine to a short suspension
b.	A conviction for a sexual offence	A medium level suspension
с.	A conviction for a serious sexual offence	Disbarment (or in exceptional circumstances, a long suspension)
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Previous criminal convictions Lack of cooperation with the police Involved a young or vulnerable victim Premeditation Lack of remorse Effect on the victim	 Isolated incident in difficult and unusual circumstances Cooperation with the investigation

Section C – The barrister and the client

C.1 Breach of Public Access rules

Description

A barrister or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body may only supply legal services to a client if he/she is instructed by a professional client (normally a solicitor) or the barrister is acting under Licensed or Public Access. The rules prohibiting acting directly for a client are designed to protect the public and accepting instructions without a professional client can put the public at risk. Breaches are likely to be charged under Part 3 of the Handbook, Section B3, rule S24 (3.B3.rS24).

The starting point should normally be a reprimand and/or a medium level fine. The main factors in determining the sanction will be the risk posed to the client, whether the breach involved a level of exploitation of the client and/or whether the behaviour was motivated by financial gain.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Breach of Public Access rules (not financially motivated)	Reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct
b.	Breach of Public Access Rules (financially motivated)	Medium level fine to a short suspension
с.	Breach of Public Access Rules over a prolonged period of time	Short to medium suspension
d.	Sustained and serious, recurrent breach of rules	Medium to long suspension and/or permanent prohibition from carrying out Public Access work, or disbarment in the most serious of cases
Agg	ravating factors	Mitigating factors
	 Negative impact on the client Particularly vulnerable client Financial motivation 	 Limited level of legal services provided Remedial action taken at an early point

C.2 Breach of a cab-rank rule

Description

A self-employed barrister or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body acting on instructions from a professional client must not withhold services on the basis of the nature of the case, the client's opinions or beliefs or on the basis of the source of the financial support. These requirements are known collectively as the "cab-rank rule". Breaches of these obligations are likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C3, rule C29 (2.C3.rC29).

The starting point should normally be a reprimand and/or a medium level fine.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Breach of cab-rank rule (financial motive).	Reprimand and medium level fine.
b.	Breach of cab-rank rule (discriminatory motive).	Reprimand and short suspension.
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Actions of the barrister adversely affected the course of the proceedings.	 Immediate apology.

C.3 Accepting when professionally embarrassed

Description

There are a range of circumstances in which a barrister or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body may be professionally embarrassed. These include (but are not limited to) accepting instructions when the barrister is likely to be a witness or his/her connection to the case will make it difficult to maintain independence, when there is a potential conflict of interest and when there is a significant risk of confidential information being communicated. Circumstances where a BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies might be professionally embarrassed include the failure of systems to prevent conflicts of interest arising between different employees of the same body. Breaches are likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C3, rule C21 (2.C3.rC21).

The sanctions should normally be a reprimand and/or a medium to high level fine. More serious sanctions should be imposed where the breach has had a significant impact on the client or the progress of the proceedings.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Accepting instructions when professionally embarrassed (inadvertently)	Reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct
b.	Accepting instructions when professionally embarrassed (intentionally)	Reprimand, medium to high level fine
с.	Failure to implement or effectively operate conflict check systems	Medium to high level fine
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Actions of the barrister adversely affected the course of the proceedings Financial motivation Repeated or continuing event.	 Immediate apology Remedial action taken at an early point Isolated failure by an employee to follow a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body's written policies

C.4 Late withdrawal

Description

A late withdrawal from a case is likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C3, rule C27 (2.C3.rC27).

The starting point should normally be a reprimand and/or a medium to high level fine.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Late withdrawal (not financially motivated).	Reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct.
b.	Late withdrawal (financially motivated).	Reprimand, medium to high level fine.
Aggı	ravating factors	Mitigating factors
	the course of the proceedings.	 Immediate apology. Positive steps taken to find/assist an alternative barrister.

C.5 Breach of Court Direction or failure to comply with a Court Order

Description

Breach of a Court direction or order usually occurs in the course of a barrister or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body representing a client. However it is possible that such a breach may occur in the course of a case that a barrister is involved with on a personal level. Charges are likely to be brought under Core Duty 5.

Given a barrister's responsibilities to the Court and for upholding the integrity of the profession, such behaviour should be considered more seriously than if committed by a lay person. Disrespect for the authority of a Court should be considered as a serious matter.

In most cases the starting point should be a reprimand and/or a fine. The level of fine will be dependent on the circumstances of the breach and the barrister's attitude. In cases where the breach might amount to a criminal offence (usually this occurs in relation to personal matters where a barrister, for example, breaches a restraining order), a medium level fine or a suspension would be appropriate. Suspensions should be reserved for cases where the impact on the complainant is significant.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Inadvertent breach of a direction/order that did not have implications for the future course of the proceedings.	Reprimand
b.	Inadvertent breach of a direction/order that resulted in a change to the course/outcome of the proceedings.	Reprimand and low level fine
c.	Deliberate breach of an order/direction in order to gain advantage.	Medium fine and/or medium suspension
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	 Deliberate disobedience based on gaining advantage for the barrister or his/her client. Continued breach in face of warnings from the Court. Evidence of previous failures to obey Court Orders/Directions. 	 Unintentional Confusion as to the nature of the order Immediate apology Remedial action taken at an early point

- Significant impact on the complainant or case.
- For BSB Licensed / Authorised
 Bodies Belief the Order had been complied with

C.6 Failure to comply with a Court judgement

Description

Usually a failure to comply with a Court judgment will occur as result of an event in the barrister's personal life although it is possible that it will result from a barrister or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body representing a client. Charges are likely to be brought under Core Duty 5.

It is a serious matter for a barrister or a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body to fail to comply with a Court judgment because it shows a level of contempt for the legal process which is not compatible with the standards expected of professionals with a responsibility to the Court. Therefore, a barrister's non-compliance with a Court judgment should be considered to be more serious than that of "lay person". However, the nature of the judgment will be relevant as will be the point at which the non-compliance has reached.

The starting point should be a fine with the level increasing according to the circumstances. A deliberate breach of a judgment resulting in significant implications for the complainant/proceedings may warrant a short suspension.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Breach of judgement related solely to personal financial obligations	Low level fine as well as reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct
b.	Deliberate disregard for the authority of the court combined with a significant impact on the complainant/case	Medium level fine and/or medium suspension
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	•Deliberate attempt to evade financial obligations	 Late compliance with the judgment Genuine attempts to meet the judgment Reason for breach is due to disability, illness, maternity or pregnancy

C.7 Improper conduct relating to fees

Description

This breach is likely to be charged under Core Duty 5 (behaving in a way that is likely to diminish the trust and confidence which the public places in you or the profession). It is a serious breach in that it will inevitably involve a victim whether that is the public purse, a company or an individual.

Normally a starting point of medium level fine would be appropriate but the level of fine will be highly fact specific and should reflect the extent and circumstances of the breach. Where the breach consists of knowingly charging for work/hours not completed, decision makers should carefully consider whether the behaviour includes an element of dishonesty and may warrant disbarment or revocation of a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body's licence or authorisation to practise (see paragraph 6.2 on dishonesty).

Acceptance of referral fees is likely to fall within this category but the level of sanction will be dependent on the specific circumstances surrounding the breach and its severity.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	In respect of a privately paying or commercial client	Medium level fine,
b.	In respect of a client who is publicly funded	High level fine or short suspension
с.	Knowingly charging for work/hours not completed.	Medium suspension to disbarment / revocation of licence or authorisation to practise
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Significant amount of overcharging	Overcharged fee repaid voluntarily
•	Particularly vulnerable client	Genuine mistake
•	Pattern of repeated behaviour	
•	Public Access client	

C.8 Discourtesy

Description

Discourtesy, in most cases, will be directed towards a Judge or Magistrate but can also involve conduct towards a lay client or other individual involved in the proceedings. Discourtesy is likely to be charged under Core Duty 5. When considering discourtesy it will be important to consider the circumstances of the act and whether or not it was an isolated incident as opposed to repeated acts of discourtesy in the face of repeated warnings from the Judge or Magistrate.

Discourtesy can be the responsibility of an entity when documentation is signed on behalf of the BSB Licensed / Authorised Body itself.

In most cases the starting point should be a reprimand accompanied by a low level fine.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	An isolated incident within proceedings	Reprimand, possibly accompanied by a low level fine
b.	Repeated pattern of discourtesy against a background of repeated warnings or interventions from the Judge/Magistrate	Reprimand accompanied by a medium level fine
с.	A high level of the discourtesy that had a significant impact on the victim	Reprimand accompanied by a medium to high level fine
Aggı	avating factors	Mitigating factors
	 Lack of remorse Failure to acknowledge impact of behaviour Adverse impact on the course of the proceedings Bullying behaviour 	 An isolated incident in difficult or unusual circumstances Immediate apology

C.9 Using status to influence

Description

The circumstances in which a barrister may attempt to use his/her status to influence usually

arise in relation to the barrister's personal life. A typical breach under this heading might arise where a barrister sends correspondence on a personal matter on chambers' letterhead holding him or herself out as a barrister in an attempt to influence or pressurise someone to accede to a course of action.

Circumstances in which BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies may attempt to use status to influence are likely to relate to corporate or professional matters, and might include circumstances where the size of the Body, its status and/or resources are used to pressurise or induce an individual, company or organisation to accede to a particular course of action.

Using status to influence is likely to breach Core Duty 3 (you must act with honesty and with integrity) and Core Duty 5 (you must not behave in a way that is likely to diminish the trust and confidence which the public places in you or in the profession).

In most cases the starting point should be a low level fine. However, if the pressure is severe and amounts to "bullying", then a higher level fine would be appropriate.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	A single incident of using status to influence when he or she ought to have realised that it was inappropriate	A low level fine
b.	Repeated incidents where the barrister is attempting to exploit his/her status as a means of exerting pressure or there is a financial motivation involved	A medium level fine
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Victim is particularly vulnerable	An isolated incident
•	Behaviour over an extended period of time	Immediate apology
•	Motivation is financial gain	

C.10 Incompetence

Description

Incompetence is likely to breach Core Duty 7 (you must provide a competent standard of work and service to each client) and Core Duty 5 (behaving in a way that is likely to diminish the trust and confidence which the public places in you or in the profession) and Core Duty 7 (providing a competent standard of work and service to each client). Incompetence may be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C.3, rule C21.8 (2.C3.rC21.8). .

The level of incompetence and seniority of the particular barrister concerned will be important factors in deciding what sanction is appropriate. It will also be important to consider whether the incompetence has had a direct impact on the client's case.

In most cases the starting point should be a reprimand and/or advice as to future conduct however this may be accompanied by a fine for more serious cases. Listed below are possible circumstances in which breaches might occur set out according to severity.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	An isolated act on the part of an inexperienced barrister which did not adversely affect the client's case (under the new Handbook this offence is likely to be dealt with by way of administrative fine or warning); a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body instructing an insufficiently experienced employee	Advice as to future conduct and/or reprimand and low level fine
b.	Significant or repeated acts of incompetence which had an adverse effect on the proceedings	A medium level fine to a short suspension
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Failure to take responsibility for actions	 Immediate apology Remedial action taken at an early point

C.11 Delay

Description

Delay is likely to breach Core Duty 7 (you must provide a competent standard of work and service to each client).

The length of the particular delay will be relevant and persistent instances of ignoring related communications from the client. The workload of the individual barrister is not a relevant factor to take into account as barristers are required by the Handbook to refuse instructions if they do not have adequate time or opportunity to prepare that which is required, but may apply to BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies where those accepting the work may then delegate responsibility for completing it to an employee.

It will also be important to consider whether or not the delay had a direct impact on the client's case.

In most cases the starting point should be a low level fine.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	A delay with limited impact on the proceedings	A low level fine
b.	A significant delay in the face of repeated communications seeking a response	A medium level fine
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
	Previous convictions for similar breaches Adverse effect on the course of the proceedings	 Immediate apology Remedial action taken at an early point Reason for breach is due to disability, illness, maternity or pregnancy.

Section D – Barristers and their regulator

D.1 Failure to report a criminal charge or conviction promptly

Description

Barristers and BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies are required to report nearly all criminal convictions and also the fact that charges have been preferred in relation to a range of criminal offences. Failure to report a criminal charge, caution or conviction is likely to breach Part 2 of the Handbook, section C4, rule C65 (2.C4.rC65).

Failure to report a criminal conviction will usually be accompanied by a disciplinary charge relating to the specific conviction. Although there may be multiple charges, a separate sanction should be imposed for each.

The starting point for failure to report a criminal charge or conviction promptly should be a low level fine. Given the nature of the offence, the range of appropriate sanctions is limited and it is unlikely that more than a medium level fine will be appropriate. However, the sanctions for the criminal conviction itself are likely to more severe.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Failure to report promptly being charged with a relevant criminal offence	A low level fine
b.	Failure to report promptly a relevant criminal conviction	A low to medium level fine
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•		Unintentional

D.2 Failure to respond

Description

Barristers and BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies are required, under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C4, rule C64 (2.C4.rC64), to promptly provide all such information to the Bar Standard's Board as it may, for its regulatory functions, from time to time require and to notify it of any material changes to that information,

Under rC71 Barristers and BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies are required to cooperate with the Legal Ombudsman

Failure to respond is most often accompanied by other charges for breaches of the Handbook. However, in some circumstances, a late response from the barrister results in the main issues of complaint being dismissed but a charge for failing to respond is still brought because of the inconvenience/delay caused either to the BSB or the complainant and/or because of the barrister's attitude towards the authority of the BSB.

In most cases the starting point should be a low level fine increasing according to the circumstances, the barrister's attitude and the level of delay/inconvenience caused. A short suspension might be appropriate where the behaviour has been repeated in relation to a number of separate complaints.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Delays in responding but with some level of engagement with the BSB	Low level fine
b.	Failure to respond at any point to BSB enquiries	Low level fine (upper end)
с.	Deliberate decision not to engage with the BSB/LeO showing a disregard for the authority of the regulator	Medium level fine / conditions being imposed on a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body's licence or authorisation to practise
d.	Deliberate and sustained decision not to engage with the BSB/LeO over an extended period of time	Medium to long suspension
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Lack of explanation for the failure to respond Distress and inconvenience to the complainant	 Reason for breach is due to disability, illness, maternity or pregnancy

Description	
 Substantial delay in being able to deal the complaint 	with
Persistent failures to respond	

D.3 Failure to comply with an Order of a BTAS Tribunal, the Legal Ombudsman, the BSB or the Independent Decision Making Body

Description

Where a barrister fails to comply with an Order of a previous Tribunal or disciplinary panel (including financial penalties) the only option available is to take further enforcement action and if necessary bring further proceedings for professional misconduct in front of a Tribunal. Under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C4, rule C64 (2.C4.rC64) barristers must comply in due time with any decision or sanction imposed by the Bar Standards Board, a Disciplinary Tribunal, the Visitors, an interim panel, a review panel, an appeal panel or a Fitness to Practise panel.

Under rC71 Barristers and BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies are required to cooperate with the Legal Ombudsman. The appropriate sanction will depend on the nature of the original sanction, whether compliance of whole or part of the order remains outstanding and the reasons why the breach occurred. It is therefore difficult to set an overall starting point. A financial penalty may not be appropriate where previous financial orders remain outstanding. In most cases, where order(s) remain outstanding, and no serious attempts have been made to comply, a conditional short suspension could be appropriate (i.e. the suspension does not become operative unless the barrister fails to comply with conditions set by the Tribunal).

Where compliance has been achieved by the date of the Tribunal, the starting point should be a low level fine combined with a reprimand/advice as to future conduct. Listed below are possible circumstances in which breaches might occur set out according to severity.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Failure to comply in due time or with only part of an order	Low level fine and reprimand/advice as to future conduct/conditions being imposed on a BSB Licensed/Authorised Body's licence or authorisation to practise
b.	Failure to comply with any part of the orders of the Tribunal/panel/ombudsman	Conditional short suspension

Desc	ription	
с.	Deliberate decision not to engage with the BSB showing a disregard for the authority of the regulator	Medium suspension
d.	Deliberate and sustained decision not to engage with the BSB/LeO over an extended period of time	Long suspension
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Similar previous findings	Genuine attempts to comply
•	No attempt to comply with the order	Late compliance
		 Reason for breach is due to disability, illness, maternity or pregnancy

D.4 Failure to promptly report any insolvency proceedings

Description

Barristers have an obligation to report the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings and the making of bankruptcy orders against themselves. BSB Licensed / Authorised bodies are required to report the initiation of winding up proceedings; the appointment of administrators, receivers or liquidators; or the initiation of administration proceedings.

Failure to promptly report such proceedings is likely to be a breach Part 2 of the Handbook, section C4, rule C65 (2.C4.rC65).

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Failure to report promptly bankruptcy, winding up or administration proceedings	A low level fine or a short suspension.
b.	Failure to report bankruptcy, winding up or administration proceedings	A medium level fine or suspension.
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•	Attempts to conceal proceedings and/or relevant facts or details relating to the proceedings	 Unintentional

Description	
Length of delay	

D.5 Failure to report serious misconduct

Description

Under the new Handbook barristers are required to self-report and report others as soon as practicably possible in relation to 'serious misconduct' (there will be an exemption for barristers giving advice via the Bar Council ethics helpline). This breach is likely to be charged under Core Duty 9 and/or under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C4, rule C65 (2.C4.rC65).

The starting point for failure to report serious misconduct is designed to reflect that for failing to report a criminal charge or conviction promptly under D.1 of this guidance.

Common circumstances for this breach are likely to be where there has been a substantive breach of serious misconduct and the respondent has failed to self-report.

The Bar Standards may be able to deal with breaches of this nature by way of administrative sanctions. For further guidance on serious misconduct and the duty to report see the guidance on the rule under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C4, gc95 –gc101.

The aggravating and mitigating factors under Annex 1 of this guidance may be relevant when dealing with this breach.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Failure to report promptly misconduct proceedings	A low level fine
b.	Failure to report promptly a relevant finding of serious misconduct	A low to medium level fine
c.	Failure to report serious misconduct involving an element of dishonesty	Disbarment
Aggravating factors		
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
Aggr		Mitigating factors Unintentional

Section E – Barristers and their practice

E.1 Poor administration of practice/chambers/BSB Licensed or Authorised Body

Description

All self-employed barristers have an obligation to ensure that their practices are efficiently and properly administered and they can be held personally responsible for any failures to do so. All members of chambers are now under an obligation to take reasonable steps to ensure that their chambers are administered competently and efficiently. All BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies must ensure that they are administered effectively and efficiently. What steps it is reasonable for a barrister to take depends on all the circumstances including the arrangements in place for the management of chambers and the role the barrister play in those arrangements. Poor administration of practice/chambers is likely to be charged under multiple rules in Part 2 of the Handbook, section C5 (2.C5) dependant on the circumstances of the individual case.

In most cases advice as to future conduct will normally be appropriate. Fines in the low to medium range would be appropriate where there is financial gain or the problems are systemic within practice/chambers.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Failure to return papers/keep proper records of cases/fees	Advice as to future conduct
b.	Systemic failures to manage a wide- range of areas of practice / chambers / body in order to save money or increase income	Reprimand and a low to medium fine (dependent on potential financial gain)
Aggr	avating factors	Mitigating factors
•		 One off/limited duration due to break down in technical support or staff mistakes Problems in staffing outside the control of an individual barrister Remedial action taken at an early point

E.2 Holding out

Description

"Holding out" is the short hand term to describe a barrister who is not entitled to practise presenting him/herself to others in a way that would lead people to believe that he/she is entitled to practise and offer legal services as a barrister. This breach is likely to be charged under Part 3 of the Handbook, section B1 (3.B1) no practice without authorisation.

Holding out can occur in a variety of circumstances. The type of cases that are likely to lead to charges of professional misconduct are those where the barrister has deliberately stated that he is entitled to practise and has provided legal services for financial gain when he/she is not entitled to do so.

The starting point should be a reprimand or advice as to future conduct with fines being more appropriate where the behaviour is deliberate/financially motivated.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
а.	Using misleading description of status on a business card/letter head	Reprimand/advice as to future conduct
b.	Inappropriate use of title as a marketing device	Reprimand/advice as to future conduct and low level fine
c.	Providing legal services for financial gain in circumstances where the client is misled into believing the barrister is entitled to practise.	Medium level fine to suspension dependent on the extent of the financial gain (disbarment could be considered where there is a clear risk to the public and the barrister may be likely to persist in the behaviour)
Aggi	avating factors	Mitigating factors
Aggi	Failure to pay administrative fine on time or at all	 Mitigating factors No direct impact on members of the public
Agg	Failure to pay administrative fine on time	 No direct impact on members of the
Agg	Failure to pay administrative fine on time or at all Providing legal services in return for	 No direct impact on members of the public Breach was inadvertent and
Agg	 Failure to pay administrative fine on time or at all Providing legal services in return for payment 	 No direct impact on members of the public Breach was inadvertent and

Description

• Failure to take remedial action when asked to do so by the BSB

E.3 Breach of practising requirements

Description

The requirements a barrister must meet to practise as a self-employed barrister, and that an BSB Licensed / Authorised Body must meet to be licensed / authorised to practise, are set out in Part 3 of the Handbook, Scope of Practice, Authorisation and Licensing Rules. The requirements include completion of Continuing Professional Development (CPD), payment of practising fees and obtaining insurance cover.

It is likely that charges of professional misconduct in relation to breach of the practising requirements will only come before a Tribunal in the case of persistent or uncooperative defaulters who will have received repeated warnings as to the potential consequences of their continued default and may also have previously been subject to administrative sanctions.

It is possible that a charge sheet in relation to practising requirement breaches will also include a charge in relation to a failure to pay an administrative fine and/or a failure to respond to the BSB.

Often panels will be faced with a charge sheet which includes up to three charges for: failing to pay the administrative fine, failing to meet the relevant requirement and failure to respond.

Panels should treat any of the above failures seriously given that the barrister will have had a number of previous opportunities to rectify his/her non-compliance and considerable resources will have been expended in trying to make the barrister meet his/her obligations. Each breach should be sanctioned separately.

The starting point for each charge should be a low level fine towards the top end. Therefore in cases where three charges are included on the charge sheet, the total fine could amount to £3,000 (£15,000 for BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies). The starting point for persistent offenders should be a short conditional suspension - the condition being the barrister/ BSB Licensed / Authorised Body should meet the practising requirement by a specified date. Immediate suspensions should be considered where the barrister/body has previously been subject to a conditional suspension of a similar offence.

In the cases of BSB Licensed / Authorised Bodies, charges may relate to the failure to appoint (or promptly re-appoint) a HoLP or HoFA, or the appointment of a previously disqualified individual. In certain circumstances charges may relate to the failure of a HoLP or HoFA to complete the duties required of them as a condition of the Body's licence / authorisation to practise (rC96 & 97).

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Failing to meet practising requirements, in due (compliance achieved late)	Low level fine with starting point of £600.
b.	Failing to meet practising requirements (compliance still outstanding)	Low to medium level fine with starting point of £900 and an order to comply with outstanding requirements by a specified date
с.	Failure to pay an administrative fine	Low to medium level fine with starting point of £900
d.	Repeated failures to meet practising requirements, or breach of licence / authorisation to practise (but no previous disciplinary history of this)	Short suspension (usually conditional)
e.	Previous disciplinary history of failing to meet practising requirements or breaches of licence / authorisation to practise (including previous suspension)	Medium to long suspension conditional on requirements being met/ revocation of licence / authorisation to practise
f.	Isolated failure of HoLP / HoFA to fulfil their duties	HoLP/HoFA to be given a reprimand or advice about their future conduct.
g.	Repeated failure of HoLP / HoFA to fulfil their duties	HoLP/HoFA to be given a short suspension and/or have conditions imposed
h.	Failure of a BSB Licensed / Authorised Body to appoint a HoLP / HoFA, or failure to notify the BSB of this.	Low to medium level fine.
Agg	ravating factors	Mitigating factors
	 Previous disciplinary findings for failing to meet practising requirements Failure to attend a Disciplinary Tribunal without explanation 	 Attempts to comply and/or previous responses to BSB enquiries Attempts to prevent recurrence Financial hardship causing inability to pay Reason for breach is due to disability, illness, maternity or pregnancy.

E.4 Breach of pupillage advertising/funding requirements

Description

All pupillages must be advertised in order to allow for open selection and equality of opportunity. It is also a requirement that pupillages are funded at a minimum prescribed level or more. This breach is likely to be charged under Part 2 of the Handbook, section C5, rule C89.4 (2.C5.rC89.4)

Breaches of the advertising requirements may be unintentional and stem from lack of knowledge of the requirements. However, failures to provide funding are more serious as they are likely to be motivated by financial gain and in most cases will involve some level of exploitation of the pupil. Deliberate evasion/circumvention of both requirements should be taken seriously.

Where the breach is unintentional, a reprimand or advice as to future conduct would be appropriate. Intentional breaches of the funding requirements should attract a relatively heavy fine, particularly where the arrangements involved a level of exploitation of the pupil.

	Possible circumstances	Starting point
a.	Failure to advertise but no pupillages were offered in contravention of the rules	Reprimand/advice as to future conduct
b.	Deliberate failure to advertise where pupils have been taken on in contravention of the rules	Reprimand and low level fine
с.	Deliberate failure to provide funding motivated by financial gain	Medium to high level fine dependent on the level of potential or actual financial gain
d.	Intentional failure to comply with recruitment requirements as well as failure to provide funding requirements	Short suspension
Aggı	avating factors	Mitigating factors
	 Financial gain Exploitation of a pupil Breach resulted in the pupillage not being registered Persistent breaches involving numbers of pupils 	 Unintentional One off where previous pupillages had been properly handled Remedial action taken at an early point

Description	
 Lack of response to warnings from Pupillage and Training Committee of the BSB 	
ANNEX 1 – AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING FACTORS

Before the panel determine the appropriate level of sanction for the breach of the Handbook the panel will need to have due regard to any evidence of factors that aggravate or mitigate the seriousness of the breach. Aggravating and/or mitigating factors can include the level of culpability of the respondent and/or the level of harm caused to the profession and/or the public. It is important to note that different aggravating and mitigating factors may apply to different charges, panel members should make this clear within their reasons.

Aggravating factors

The following factors, if present, may determine whether a higher sanction for a breach should be imposed. The factors listed are only examples and are not exhaustive:

- Premeditation
- Motive of financial gain
- Corruption/gross deception
- Coercion
- Involvement of others
- Persistent conduct or conduct over a lengthy period of time
- Undermining of the profession in the eyes of the public
- Attempts to hide the misconduct or wrongly lay blame elsewhere
- Effect on the complainant or particular vulnerability of the complainant
- Actions accompanied by discriminatory behaviour or motivation (does not require intent)
- Breach of trust
- Bullying or harassment (does not require intent)
- Position of responsibility within the profession
- Previous disciplinary findings for similar breaches
- Previous disciplinary findings for any types of breaches, particularly where the breaches show an unwillingness to comply with the Handbook
- Lack of remorse for having committed the offences
- Failure to respond promptly to communications from the BSB, or inappropriate behaviour that frustrates the administration of the complaint
- Failure to attend a Tribunal without explanation

- Indication of an element of dishonesty (see paragraph 6.2 on a finding of dishonesty and paragraph 7.5 on dishonesty during proceedings)
- Lack of insight

Mitigating factors

The following factors, if present, may determine whether a lower sanction for a breach should be imposed. The factors listed are only examples and are not exhaustive:

- Admits the charge(s)
- Genuine remorse (as expressed in e.g. a willingness to apologise to the complainant and/or compromise over matters such as fees)
- Limited experience within the profession
- The breach was unintentional (not applicable if behaviour involves discrimination, harassment or bullying).
- Single incident (not applicable if behaviour involves discrimination)
- Heat of the moment (not applicable if behaviour involves discrimination)
- Co-operation with the investigation
- Voluntary steps have been taken to remedy or rectify the breach
- Evidence of attempts to prevent reoccurrence
- Previous good character
- Evidence of financial hardship (only applicable when it has had a direct impact on the commission of the offence)
- Advice was sought and obtained from the Bar Council's professional ethics helpline
- Particular personal circumstances that provide a reasonable explanation for the behaviour. In particular, bereavement, relationship breakdown and divorce (matters such as pressure of work and bankruptcy should be treated with caution as these factors may indicate a greater risk to the public in the barrister's on-going practice)
- Good references (only of limited applicability and very much dependent on the nature of the offence and the role and identity of the referee)

ANNEX 2 – WORDING OF SANCTIONS AND FINDINGS AND SANCTIONS SHEET

Wording of sanctions

A2.1 Prescriptive requirements for the wording of sanctions are no longer included in the Disciplinary Tribunal Regulations but it is still important that panels include on the findings and sanctions sheet clear details of the sanction(s) imposed. Therefore set out below is guidance on the wording that should be used when imposing each of the individual sanctions, including any sanction imposed under the Determination by Consent procedure. It is based on the previous wording of the Disciplinary Tribunal Regulations and may appear very formal but it provides a sound basis for ensuring that any sanctions imposed are not subject to confusion. It is mandatory to use one of the statements contained in paragraph A2.2 below where a respondent is absent from a Tribunal hearing.

Absence of the barrister or BSB Licensed/Authorised Body charged

- A2.2 Where the barrister or BSB Licensed / Authorised Body charged has not been present throughout the proceedings, the sanction in respect of that respondent must include one or more of the following statements:
 - i. if the relevant procedure under rE183¹⁵ has been complied with, that the finding and sanction were made in the absence of the respondent in accordance with rE183;
 - ii. if the procedure under rE184 has been complied with, that the finding and the sanction were made in the absence of the respondent and that they have the right to apply to the Directions Judge for an order that there should be a new hearing before a fresh Disciplinary Tribunal;
 - iii. if the relevant procedure under rE213 has been complied with, that the sanction was madein the absence of the respondent in accordance with rE214;

¹⁵ References are to the *Disciplinary Tribunal Regulations*, Section B, Part 5 of the Third Edition of the BSB Handbook (November 2017)

 if the procedure under rE215 has been complied with, that the sanction was made in the absence of the respondent and that they may apply to the Directions Judge for an order that there should be a new hearing before a fresh Disciplinary Tribunal.

Wording of Sanctions – barristers

Disbarment

A2.3 "That [X] be disbarred."

Removal from the Register of European Lawyers

A2.4 "That [X] be removed from the register of European lawyers maintained by the Bar Standards Board"

Suspension of Practising Certificate

A2.5 "That [X] be suspended for [X] weeks/months/year."

"That [X] be suspended until he/she has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the barrister should comply]."

"That [X] be suspended from accepting or carrying out any [state the area of practice that the suspension shall apply to] for [X] weeks/months/years."

"That [X] be suspended from accepting or carrying out any [state the area of practice that the suspension shall apply to] until he/she has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the barrister should comply]."

Suspension from the Register of European Lawyers

A2.6 "That [X] be suspended from the register of European lawyers maintained by the Bar Standards Board for [X period]."

"That [X] be suspended from the register of European lawyers maintained by the Bar Standards Board until he/she has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the barrister should comply]."

"That [X] be suspended from the register of European lawyers maintained by the Bar Standards Board with regards to accepting or carrying out any [state the area of practice that the suspension shall apply to] for [X] weeks/months/years." "That [X] be suspended from the register of European lawyers maintained by the Bar Standards Board with regards to accepting or carrying out any [state the area of practice that the suspension shall apply to] until he has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the barrister should comply]".

Prohibition on accepting or carrying out public access instructions

A2.7 "That [X] be prohibited from accepting or carrying out any public access instructions."

"That [X] be prohibited from accepting or carrying out any public access instructions for [X] weeks/months/years."

"That [X] be prohibited from accepting or carrying out any public access instructions until he has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the barrister should comply]."

Payment of fine

A2.8 "That [X] pay a fine of £[] to the Bar Standards Board, within [X] weeks/months of the expiry of any appeal period."

"That [X] pay a fine of £[] to the Bar Standards Board, to be paid in monthly instalments of £[] to commence within [X] weeks/months of the expiry of any appeal period."

Continuing Professional Development

A2.11 "That [X] shall by [date] complete [X] continuing professional development of the following nature and direction... (in addition to the mandatory requirements set out in the Continuing Professional Development Regulations under Part 4, section C of the Handbook) [in the subject of ...] and provide satisfactory proof of compliance with this Order to the Bar Standards Board."

Reprimand

A2.13 "That [X] has been reprimanded by the Tribunal."

"That [X] is ordered to attend on [X- the nominated person] to be reprimanded."

Advice as to future conduct

A2.15 "That [X] has been advised by the Tribunal as to his/her future conduct in regard to "

"That [X] is hereby ordered to attend on [X – the nominated person] to be given advice as to his/her future conduct in regard to ".

Order for reduction of Legal Aid fees

A2.16 "That the fees otherwise payable to [X] by the Legal Aid Agency in connection with the legal services provided by him/her are reduced in the following sum £[] according to the attached list. (a list of the reductions should be provided) "

Order for cancellation of Legal Aid fees

A2.17 "That the fees otherwise payable to [X] by the Legal Aid Agency in connection with services provided by him/her should be cancelled in accordance with the attached list. (a list of the cancelled services should be provided) "

Exclusion from Legal Aid work

A2.18 "That [X] be excluded from providing representation funded by the Legal Aid Agency (as established by the Legal Aid Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012) until [X date] or for a period of [X time]beginning on [X date].

Wording of Sanctions – BSB Legal Services Bodies

Removal of Authorisation to Practise

A2.19 "That [X] have their authorisation to practise as a BSB legal services body be removed."

Conditions on Authorisation to Practise

A2.20 "That [X] be required to comply with the following practising requirements [state the practising requirements with which the authorised body should comply]."

Suspension of Authorisation to Practise

A2.21 "That [X] have their authorisation to practise as a BSB legal services body suspended for [X] weeks/months/year."

"That [X] have their authorisation to practice as a BSB legal services body suspended until it has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the authorised body should comply]."

"That [X] have their authorisation to practise as a BSB legal services body suspended so that it may not accept or carry out any [state the area of practise that the suspension shall apply to] for [X] weeks/months/years."

"That [X] have their authorisation to practise as a BSB legal services body suspended so that it may not accept or carry out any [state the area of practise that the suspension shall apply to] until it has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the authorised body should comply]."

Withdrawal or Suspension of Authorisation to Conduct Litigation

A2.22 "That [X] have their authorisation to conduct litigation given by the Bar Standards Board withdrawn."

"That [X] have their authorisation to conduct litigation given by the Bar Standards Board suspended for [X] weeks/months/year."

"That [X] have their authorisation to conduct litigation given by the Bar Standards Board suspended until it has complied with [state the requirement with which the authorised body should comply]."."

Payment of fine

A2.23 "That [X] pay a fine of £[] to the Bar Standards Board, within [X] weeks/months of the expiry of any appeal period."

"That [X] pay a fine of £[] to the Bar Standards Board, to be paid in monthly instalments of £[] to commence within [X] weeks/months of the expiry of any appeal period."

Continuing Professional Development

A2.24 "That [state name of individual(s) and role(s)] of [X] authorised body shall by [date] complete continuing professional development of the following nature and direction... (in addition to the mandatory requirements set out in the Continuing Professional Development Regulations under Part 4, section C of the Handbook [NB this part can only apply to managers/ employees who are practising barristers]) and provide satisfactory proof of compliance with this Order to the Bar Standards Board."

Reprimand

A2.25 "That [X] has been reprimanded by the Tribunal."

"That [state name of individual(s) or role(s)] of [X] authorised body is ordered to attend on [X- the nominated person] to be reprimanded."

Advice as to future conduct

A2.26 "That [X] has been advised by the Tribunal as to its future conduct in regard to "

"That [state name of individual(s) or role(s)] of [X] authorised body is hereby ordered to attend on [X – the nominated person] to be given advice as to its future conduct in regard to ".

Wording of Sanctions – BSB Licensed Bodies

Removal of Licence to Practise

A2.19 "That [X] have their licence to practice given by the Bar Standards Board revoked."

Conditions on Licence to Practise

A2.20 "That [X] be required to comply with the following practising requirements [state the practising requirements with which the licensed body should comply]."

Suspension of Licence to Practise

A2.21 "That [X] have their licence to practice given by the Bar Standards Board suspended for [X] weeks/months/year."

"That [X] have their licence to practice given by the Bar Standards Board suspended until it has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the licenced body should comply]."

"That [X] have their licence to practice given by the Bar Standards Board suspended so that it may not accept or carry out any [state the area of practice that the suspension shall apply to] for [X] weeks/months/years."

"That [X] have their licence to practice given by the Bar Standards Board suspended so that it may not accept or carry out any [state the area of practice that the suspension shall apply to] until it has complied with [state the practising requirement with which the licenced body should comply]."

Withdrawal or Suspension of Right to Conduct Litigation

A2.22 "That [X] have their right to conduct litigation given by the Bar Standards Board withdrawn."

"That [X] have their right to conduct litigation given by the Bar Standards Board suspended for [X] weeks/months/year."

"That [X] have their right to conduct litigation given by the Bar Standards Board suspended until it has complied with [state the requirement with which the licenced body should comply]."."

Payment of fine

A2.23 "That [X] pay a fine of £[] to the Bar Standards Board, within [X] weeks/months of the expiry of any appeal period."

"That [X] pay a fine of £[] to the Bar Standards Board, to be paid in monthly instalments of £[] to commence within [X] weeks/months of the expiry of any appeal period."

Continuing Professional Development

A2.24 "That [state name of individual(s) and role(s)] of [X] licenced body shall by [date] complete continuing professional development of the following nature and duration... (in addition to the mandatory requirements set out in the Continuing Professional Development Regulations under Part 4, section C of the Handbook [NB this part can only apply to managers/ employees who are practising barristers]) [in the subject of ...] and provide satisfactory proof of compliance with this Order to the Bar Standards Board."

Reprimand

A2.25 "That [X] has been reprimanded by the Tribunal."

"That [state name of individual(s) or role(s)] of [X] licenced body is ordered to attend on [Xthe nominated person] to be reprimanded."

Advice as to future conduct

A2.26 "That [X] has been advised by the Tribunal as to its future conduct in regard to "

"That [state name of individual(s) or role(s)] of [X] licenced body is hereby ordered to attend on [X – the nominated person] to be given advice as to its future conduct in regard to ".

ANNEX 3 – GLOSSARY

Α

Administrative sanction - means the imposition of an administrative warning, fixed penalty fine or other administrative fine up to the prescribed maximum, or any combination of the above in accordance with Section 5.A of the BSB Handbook.

Aggravating factor – means any circumstance surrounding a breach of the Handbook that acts to increase its seriousness or add to the risk of harm or actual harm.

Authorised body – means a body (corporate or unincorporated) which is authorised by the BSB to carry on reserved legal activities and is not a licenced body.

B

Bar - means the Bar of England and Wales.

Bar Council - means The General Council of the Bar

Barrister - has the meaning given in s. 207 of the Legal Services Act 2007 and means an individualwho—(a) has been called to the Bar by an Inn of Court, and

(b) is not disbarred by order of an Inn of Court;

Bar Tribunals & Adjudication Service (BTAS) – means the functioning arm of the Council of the Inns of Court (COIC) responsible for administering disciplinary and fitness to practise hearings.

Bar Standards Board - means the board established to exercise and oversee the regulatory functions of the Bar Council.

Breach – means a breach of the BSB Handbook.

С

Cab-rank rule – means a rule under which barristers theoretically should accept any cases within their specialist field, subject to their availability during the appropriate time, which are offered to them at a fair and proper fee. (Osborn's Concise Law Dictionary, 11e)

Call to the bar - means a formal act by which a person is awarded the degree of barrister by an Inn of Court.

Chambers - means a place at or from which one or more self-employed barristers carry on their practices.

Client - means, the person for whom a barrister acts

Conviction - means a criminal conviction for an indictable offence.

Complaint regulations - means the rules set out at part 5.A of the BSB Handbook

Complainant – means one who makes a complaint.

Council of the Inns of Court (COIC) - means the Council of the Inns of Court and its successors including any entity or part through which it exercises its functions.

Criminal offence - means any offence, wherever committed, under the criminal law of any jurisdiction (including non-exempt offences under the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 (Exceptions) Order 1975 (Amendment) (England and Wales) Order 2013) except:

- (a) an offence for which liability is capable of being discharged by payment of a fixed penalty; and
- (b) an offence which has as its main ingredient the unlawful parking of a vehicle;

Custodial sentence – means a sentence of imprisonment.

D

Determination by consent – means the procedure set out in part 5 section A5 of the BSB Handbook.

Directions – means case management directions by the court or tribunal giving a timetable for prehearing procedures.

Directions Judge – means a Judge or Queen's Council designated by the President of the Council of the Inns of Court.

Disbarment – means to expel a barrister from his Inn of Court.

Disciplinary Tribunal - means a Tribunal convened pursuant to part 5, section B of the BSB Handbook to consider an allegation of professional misconduct against a barrister.

Discrimination - has the same meaning as in chapter 2 of the Equality Act 2010.

Diversity data - means monitoring information relating to the protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 in respect of an individual.

Double jeopardy - means the principle that a person cannot be tried twice for the same offence.

Ε

European lawyer - means a person who is a national of a Member State and who is authorised in any Member State to pursue professional activities under any of the professional titles appearing in article 2(2) of the European Communities (Lawyer's Practice) Order 1999, but who is not any of the following:

- a) a solicitor or barrister of England and Wales or Northern Ireland; or
- b) a solicitor or advocate under the law of Scotland;

F

Fitness to practise – means any question concerning whether a Barrister is unfit to practise.

Fitness to practise rules – means the regulations set out at part 5 E of the BSB Handbook.

Η

Handbook – means the BSB Handbook.

Harassment - has the same meaning as in section 26 of the Equality Act 2010.

Independent Decision Making Body – means the body established by the Bar Standards Board to take independent decisions on relevant regulatory issues including enforcement of the professional obligations of those regulated by the BSB.

Inns' Conduct Committee – means the committee set up to deal with conduct issues relating to student members and applicants to the Inns of Court.

Inn of Court - means one of the four Inns of Court, namely, the Honourable Societies of Lincoln's Inn, Inner Temple, Middle Temple and Gray's Inn.

L

Legal aid – means the scheme which publically funds advice, assistance and/or legal representation in legal proceedings.

Legal Aid Agency - means the executive agency established under Legal Aid Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012 to manage and administer the legal aid system

Legal services - includes legal advice representation and drafting or settling any statement of case witness statement affidavit or other legal document but does not include:

- a) sitting as a judge or arbitrator or acting as a mediator;
- b) lecturing in or teaching law or writing or editing law books articles or reports;
- c) examining newspapers, periodicals, books, scripts and other publications for libel,breach of copyright, contempt of court and the like;

- giving advice on legal matters free to a friend or relative or acting as unpaid or honorary legal adviser to any charitable benevolent or philanthropic institution;
- e) in relation to a barrister who is a non-executive director of a company or a trustee or governor of a charitable benevolent or philanthropic institution or a trustee of any private trust, giving to the other directors trustees or governors the benefit of his learning and experience on matters of general legal principle applicable to the affairs of the company institution or trust;

Legal Services Board - means the independent body established under the Legal Services Act 2007 to be the over-arching regulator for the legal profession as a whole.

Licensed body – Has the same meaning as in s71(2) of the Legal Services Act, namely a licensable body which has been granted a licence by the Bar Standards Board or other licensing authority to undertake reserved legal activities.

Μ

Mitigating factors - means any circumstance surrounding a breach of the Handbook that acts to decrease its seriousness or that lessens the extent of harm.

Ρ

Panel members - see decision maker

Practice - means the activities, including business related activities, in that capacity, of:

- a) a practising barrister;
- a barrister exercising a right of audience in a Member State other than the United Kingdom pursuant to the Establishment Directive, or the European Communities (Lawyer's Practice) Regulations 2000;

Practising barrister – means a barrister who holds a current practising certificate.

Practising certificate - means a full practising certificate, a provisional practising certificate, a limited practising certificate, or an European lawyer's practising certificate or a temporary practising certificate issued by the Bar Council.

Practising requirements – means the requirements a barrister must fulfil in order to practise as a barrister at the bar of England and Wales. To obtain authorisation to practise a barrister will be asked to:

- a) Verify their current contact details
- b) Verify their practising status and entitlement to exercise reserved legal activities
- c) Confirm whether they have completed the requisite amount of continuing professional development (CPD) activity
- d) Declare that they have obtained and paid for adequate indemnity insurance
- e) Pay the practising certificate fee, and
- f) Sign a declaration of truth, which is designed to ensure understanding of the process and new system.

The President - means the President of the Council of the Inns of Court.

Professional client - means in relation to giving instructions to a BSB authorised person or body:

- a) any person authorised by another approved regulator or licensing authority;
- b) a legal services body authorised or licensed by the BSB;
- c) an employed barrister or registered European lawyer;
- d) any practising barrister or registered European lawyer acting on his own behalf;
- e) a foreign lawyer;
- f) a Scottish or Northern Irish Solicitor; or
- g) the representative of anybody (such as a Legal Advice Centre or Pro Bono or Free
 Representation Unit) which arranges for the supply of legal services to the public

without a fee, and which has been and remains designated by the Bar Standards Board (subject to such conditions as may be imposed by the Bar Council or Bar Standards Board in relation to insurance or any other matter whatsoever) as suitable for the instruction of barristers, and which instructs a barrister to supply legal services without a fee;

h) any member of a profession who is acting on behalf of their own client;

Professional misconduct – means a breach of [the] Handbook by a BSB regulated person which is not appropriate for disposal by way of no further action or the imposition of administrative sanctions.

Promptly – means as soon as practicably possible.

Public access client – means a client (other than a licensed access client) that instructs a barrister directly.

Public access instructions - means instructions given to a barrister by or on behalf of a public access client, in accordance with Part 2 Rules C119-C131 of the BSB Handbook.

Pupil - means an individual who is undertaking either the first non-practising six months of pupillage or the second practising six months of pupillage, or a part thereof and who is registered with the Bar Standards Board as a pupil.

Pupil supervisor – means an individual, qualified barrister who has been approved as a pupil supervisor by his or her Inn of Court, and in accordance with the Bar Training Regulations under part 4 of the BSB Handbook.

Pupillage – means the period of professional training required before applying for authorisation to practise as a barrister.

R

Referral fee - means any payment or other consideration made in return for the referral of professional instructions by an intermediary.

Respondent – means the relevant person who is the subject of the disciplinary charge or charges brought before a Disciplinary Tribunal and/or of a disqualification application made to the Disciplinary Tribunal under the Complaints Regulations.

Regulatory objectives - has the meaning given to it by section 1 of the Legal Services Act 2007 and consists of the following objectives:

- a) protecting and promoting the public interest;
- b) supporting the constitutional principles of the rule of law;
- c) improving access to justice;
- d) protecting and promoting the interests of consumers;
- e) promoting competition in the provision of the services;
- f) encouraging an independent, strong, diverse and effective legal profession;
- g) increasing public understanding of the citizen's legal rights and duties; and
- h) promoting and maintaining adherence to the professional principles;

Report of Finding and Sanction – means a report completed by the Chair of the Tribunal detailing the finding, reasons and where applicable the sanction.

S

Sanctions – means a penalty for breaching the BSB Handbook.

Self-employed barrister – means a practising barrister who is self-employed.

Serious misconduct – means conduct as defined under part 2 C4 (gC96) of the BSB Handbook.

Strategic objectives – means the BSB's strategic objectives as set out in the BSB's Strategic Plan 2016 - 2019.

Submissions – means a statement made by a barrister in support of their client's case.

U

Unregistered barristers - means an individual who does not hold a practising certificate but who has been called to the Bar by one of the Inns and has not ceased to be a member of the Bar.

The Bar Tribunals & Adjudication Service

9 Gray's Inn Square, London WC1R 5JD T: 020 3432 7350 E: <u>nfo@tbtas.org.uk</u> The Council of the Inns of Court. Limited by Guarantee Company Number: 8804708 Charity Number: 1155640 Registered Office 9 Gray's Inn Square, London WC1R 5JD