

The Council of the Inns of Court

Disciplinary Tribunal

TIMOTHY RAGGATT QC

Called to the Bar by: Inner Temple, July 1972

Type of hearing: 5 Person Tribunal

Date of decision: 02 June 2022

In breach of:

Paragraphs 301[a][ii], 302, and 901.7 of the Code of Conduct of the Bar of England and Wales [8th Edition].

Details of Offence:

Timothy Raggatt QC, engaged in conduct which was likely to diminish public confidence in the legal profession or the administration of justice or otherwise bring the legal profession into disrepute in that Mr Raggatt, when instructed as leading prosecution counsel in a case: i] decided [together with others in the prosecution team]; and/or 2] advised the Crown Prosecution Service, both prior and during X's trial in August 2007 not to make: a] a PII [Public Interest Immunity] application in relation to surveillance material relating to events and X's location on 1 and 2 June 2006; and/or b] an admission in relation to that surveillance material to the effect that eventually made by the prosecution on 27 June 2013.

Timothy Raggatt QC, failed to assist the Court in the administration of justice in that he [on behalf of the Prosecution]: 1] stated to the Court at the trial of X in August 2007 that a meeting could have taken place in Nottingham between X and Y on the 2 June 2006 between 1.30 p.m. and 3p.m. and/or 2] refused to concede that such a meeting could not have taken place at that time. In fact, Mr Raggatt knew, or ought to have known, the prosecution had in its possession surveillance material which showed that X was in Coventry on 2 June 2006 until

The Bar Tribunals & Adjudication Service

9 Gray's Inn Square, London WC1R 5JD T: 020 3432 7350

E: info@tbtas.org.uk

The Council of the Inns of Court. Limited by Guarantee Company Number: 8804708
Charity Number: 1155640
Registered Office:

9 Gray's Inn Square, London WC1R 5JD

2.25 p.m. and therefore, given it is approximately 53 miles between Nottingham and Coventry, the meeting could not have taken place in Nottingham on 2 June 2006 between 1.30 p.m. and 3 p.m.

Sanction: Suspended for 12 months and 3 months to run concurrently. Costs of

£18,600.00 to be paid to the BSB.

Status: Open to Appeal.